INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	
Petense. Withesses	Page
OBATA, Tedayoshi (resumed)	29144
Direct (cont'd) by Mr. G. Williams	29144
Cross by Brigadier Quilliam	29153
Redirect by Mr. G. Williams	29159
(Witness excused)	29160
OSHIMA, Hiroo	29181
Direct by Mr. G. Williams	29181
Cross by Mr. SHIOBARA	29187
(Witness excused)	29187
MURAKAMI, Hejimu	29188
Direct by Mr. G. Williams	29188
(Witness excused)	29192
INADA, Shuichi	29196
Direct by Er. G. Williams	29196
Cross by Brigadier Quilliam	29202
(Witness excused)	29203
SAITO, Yoshie (recalled)	29241
Direct by Mr. Warren	29241
(Witness excused)	29244
MURATA, Goro	29245
Direct by Mr. Warren	29245
(Witness excused)	29250

24 SEPTEMBER 1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
SHIOTA, Hiroshige	29251
Direct by Mr. Warren	29251
(Witness excused)	29253
OKADA, Keisuke (recalled)	29255
Direct by Mr. Warren	29255
Cross by Mr. Keenan	29274

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Doscription	For In Ident Evidence
2551		3215	Book entitled "Reports on Japan- ese-Manchukuo-China Economic Round Tabl Conference	
2551-A		3215-A	Excerpt therefrom - Speech of HOSHINO, Naoki, Chief of General Affairs, State Affairs Board Manchukuo, dated 3 December 1938	1, 291 <i>5</i> 9
755	2797 -A		Paragraph B, page 7 - The New Economic Structure (Keizai Shin Taisei) and Major Industries Association Ordinar	
1930-A	3216		Affidavit of USHIBA, Tomohiko	29167
1930	3216-A		The Change of Cabinet Ministers Related to Economic Affairs (April 3, 4)	
2522	3217		Affidavit of OSHIMA, Hiroo	29182
		M	ORNING RECESS	29186
2598	3218		Affidavit of MURAKAMI Hajimu	29188

I N D E X

of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros. No.	Description Ident.	In Evidence
2144	3219		Organization on the Offices and Officials Attached to the Cabinet (1st October 1941) Imperial Ordinance No. 307 -	29193
2590	3220		Affidavit of INADA, Shuichi	29196
2422	3221		The Regulations of the Decoration Conference - Imperial Ordinance No. 115 - dated 10 November 1893	29209
1500-4-7	3222		Telegram sent by Ambassador Grew from Tokye, dated 18 May 1939	29209
1500-B-7	3223		Telegram to Eugene H. Dooman, American Charge d' Affairs ad interim, in Tokyo, dated 8 July 1939	29212
1500-C-7	3224		Telegram reply from the President of the United States to the Accused HIRANUMA	29217
1500-0-7	3225		Letter to the Secretary of State of the United States from Eugene H. Dooman, Charge d' Affairs ad interim, in Tokyo, dated 7 June 1939	29218
			NOON RECESS	29223

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def. No.	Pres.	Description	Ident.Evidence
2420	3226		Affidavit of Major-Genera Francis Stewart Gilder Piggott, C.S., D.S.O., Colonel Commandant, Ro Engineers	оў
2558	3227		Affidavit of SAITO, Yoshi	e 29242
2595	3228		Affidavit of MURATA, Goro	29247
			AFTERNOON RECESS	29257
2535	3229		Affidayit of OKADA, Keisu	ke 29258
2423	, 3230		Prince KONCYE, dated J August 1943 from OKADA Keisuke	3

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

No.		Pros.	Description	For Ident.	Evidence
2420	3226		Affidavit of Major-Genera Francis Stewart Gilder Piggott, C.S., D.S.O., Colonel Commandant, Roj Engineers	ОУ	29232
2558	3227		Affidevit of SAITO, Yeshi	Э	29242
2595	3228		Affidavit of MURATA, Goro		29247
			AFTERNOON RECESS		29257
2535	3229		Affidayis of OKADA, Keisul	ke	29258
2423	3230		Prince KONCYE, dated I: August 1943 from OKADA Keisuke	3	29272

LANGUAGE CORRECTIONS

24 September 1947

The following corrections are in a part of the Exhibits not read into the Transcript.

Exhibit 3145-A, Record Page 27,994,
Annex No. 1, page 2, lines 9 to 11, delete the three
lines and substitute "The draft is a revised
draft made by Army-Navy officials concerned.

"The red letters are a revised draft by the Foreign Office."

Exhibit 774-A, page 8, paragraph No. 6, line 2, substitue "is"for "exists in". Line 3, substitue "against the" for "and an anti-"; delete "Russia policy". Line 4, substitute "Hsinchiang" for "Inner". Line 7, delete from "these" to "bounds of". Line 8, after "China" insert"should be within these bounds". Line 14, substitute "certainly" for "not only"; substitute "and" for "but". Line 15, substitute "real intention" for "sincerity". Line 16, after "will" insert "also".

Language Arbitration Board

Wednesday, 24 September 1947

THE PRESENCE With the Internal to separate.

心工员80字

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 0930.

Appearances:

DE THE THE PERSON

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600 and HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France, not sitting from 1335 to 1445.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before.

For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

impractical plans town being ason. 15. HOTELWS and

I soon found out you improved the hour of these plant

Greenberg & Barton

. 6

-17

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused KAYA will be absent from the courtroom for the whole of the morning session conferring
with counsel.

become dations only. The Tital decision was always.

she had Mr. Williams. s Board could make plans and

TADAYOSHI OBATA, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and
testified through Japanese interpreters as
follows:

MR. G. WILLIAMS: May it please the Tribunal, I shall continue reading the affidavit of the witness OBATA, exhibit No. 3214, continuing on the middle of page 2:

President of the Planning Board and Minister Without
Portfolio in Prince KONOYE's Second Cabinet, and I
was ar inted Vice President of the Board in August
of that year. The reason I was brought into the
Planning Board was to analyze, from a businessman's
point of view, the various production figures in the
impractical plans then being used. Mr. HOSHINO and
I soon found out how impractical most of these plans

were. It was a difficult position to be in for the China war was constantly expanding, with no termination in sight, and the United States soon began to ban certain exports to Japan. This added greatly to our economic difficulties and so we had to shift plans in order for Japan to meet her needs with what she had. The Planning Board could make plans and recommendations only. The final decision was always made by the Cabinet and executed by the various ministries.

"The Planning Board had, as part of its business, formerly made plans" -- and recommendations should be there -- "for the allocation of the foreign exchange which Japan obtained by her exports, but our foreign exchange shrunk rapidly. Since Japan could not get new materials, the Board had to devise new means of getting by with what was available and so it turned to the plan for the Expansion of Productive Power which had been set up in January, 1939. Counsel has shown me exhibit 842" -- and I ask that the witness be shown part 3 of that exhibit.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

(Reading continued) -- "and this document contains that plan." Prosecution agrees that that is

the document. It is correct.

"This was another impractical plan which we had to constantly revise in the face of changing circumstances. The changes were made necessary primarily by the fact that production was decreasing as our supplies of steel scrap, oil, machine tools, aluminum and other items from the United States were diminishing. Accordingly, it was necessary to find new sources of those raw materials and products, and the plan for Expansion of Productive Power was the only way to do it. Japan was weak economically and the Planning Board had to devise some means of meeting the demands put on it by the Army, Navy and civilian sources.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

"Japan was still importing some materials from the United States and the Netherlands East Indies, but these were insufficient for her current needs. What it was planned to receive from them or any other outside source, was the normal amount of peacetime imports and all the planning was based on a continuation of such imports only. The only warfare which was considered in making plans was that going on in China, and neither Mr. HOSHINO nor I knew how much the Army and Navy required for use there. The uses to which they put the materials they demanded were kept entirely secret. If we had had in mind any future war, such as the Pacific War, in making our plans, those plans would have been of a very different nature from the ones we followed. The object of the Plan of Expansion of Productive Power was to turn out producers' goods for those most necessary items cut off from Japan by the export bans of foreign countries, and to attempt to balance the industries. The heavy industry in Japan was far inferior to the light industry and needed a great deal of developing to bring the economy into any kind of a well balanced relationship. By the Plan of Expansion of Productive Power the direct purpose was not to increase the amount of steel and other items, but the ability to produce them. The end of the plan was set for March of

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1942 on paper. but we knew no definite accomplishment could be realized by that time. At that time there was no particular division of the Planning Board having sole charge of this Plan, so it was to be handled temporarily by the Fifth Division, which had formerly made allocations of foreign exchange and other funds which had dwindled so much.

"As I said before, at this time the demands of the Army and Navy were very great. However, Mr. HOSHINO and I tried to cut down the military allocations in favor of civilian goods and the Plan for Expansion of Productive Power. The Army and Navy got first priority but we always succeeded in reducing the allocations which they demanded by a large per cent and they never actually got the full allocation because of material shortages. There was a great deal of difficulty and argument over these allocations and although they were supposed to be decided by april of each year, I remember, when I joined the Planning Board that the allocation had already been deferred from April to early in July, and when I resigned in April of the next year, the authorities concerned had been able to reach no agreement on allocations for the 1941 giscal year.

"Next I shall speak about the new economic structure. Counsel has shown me exhibit 865, which

contains the plan. The detailed plan was prepared by some of the young officials of the Planning Board. Mr. HOSHINO took this plan in the autumn of 1940 and presented it to the Economic Ministers of the Cabinet. They expressed considerable opposition to the plan, especially Mr. KOBAYASHI, the Minister of Commerce and Industry. Mr. HOSHINO agreed that it should be changed. The Economic Ministers of the Cabinet wanted the plan changed so that it would be more in favor of a laissezfaire economy. For instance, the original plan provided that large economic organizations were to take over all industries and run them efficiently, weeding out the small and inefficient business concerns. Mr. KOBAYASHI wanted the government to help the small business men instead, and Mr. HOSHINO agreed with him. I do not have a copy of the original plan and I do not know where one can be found, since it was not adopted. I shall relate some of the changes made by Mr. HOSHINO and the other Economic Ministers, as I remember them,

"1. There were added to the original plan the words 'to stabilize the welfare and well-being of the people', or words to that effect, to the aims set out in the plan. This was to act as a check on the too great emphasis on a synthetic and planned economy and

after many negotiations.

3 4

1

6

8

10

11

12

14

13

16

. 15

17

19

21

22

was a check on any radical change, being more in favor of maintenance of the status quo."

For the information of the Tribunal, this plan is on page 41 of 865.

"2. In paragraph 3 of Section II, the plan originally read: 'enterprise <u>must</u> by separated or combined' instead of 'may be separated or combined.' I have underlined the work in the original plan which

.

9 was changed.

"3. In paragraph 4 of Section II the original plan read: 'medium and small enterprises shall be adjusted and unified.'

"4. In Section III, entitled "Economic Organizations', paragraph (a) (2) originally read: "Economic organizations shall be operated under the guidance of the one-leader principle.' In short, the final plan on which Mr. KOBAYASHI and Mr. HOSHINO concurred was a reversal of the original plan in many points.

"After these changes had been made by Mr.

HOSHINO and the other Economic Ministers of the Cabinet,
the plan became substantially as it reads now and was
finally approved by the Cabinet. The Planning Board had
nothing to do with the execution of the plan which had
to be done by the Commerce and Industry Ministry. The
new economic plan looked to a partial transfer of con-

3

5

7

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

trol of industry from the government to the business men of the control associations so that under the plan there would be less government control than formerly.

"The Planning Board's aim under the more and more difficult conditions of the time was a selfsufficient economy for Japan, pointing to a bloc economy relationship with Manchukuo and China. Japan was to be the center of the highly developed industry because it was more advanced in those lines. Manchukuo and China would emphasize the raw material and basic industries. The industries in North China and Manchukuo were included in the planning because these had been included in the economic plans for the past several years, being already integrated with the Japanese economy Japan, China and Manchuria under the circumstances then prevailing, from the point of view of natural resources' and production techniques, are interdependent and they did not seem to be prosperous without each other's cooperation.

"Next I shall speak about the population policy, which is also contained in exhibit 865. At this time the percentage of population increase in Japan was declining due to the change in social conditions in Japan and the war in China. In its execution the plan presupposed a state of peace and was aimed at the year 1960.

.15

Its real effects would not have been seen until that time. Furthermore, one of its immediate effects would be a decrease in the labor supply, since the plan aimed at the encouragement of marriage and restriction of employment of women over twenty years of age, and this meant a decreasing number of women laborers in the factories. It was a paper plan, which was very visionary.

"The plan was prepared in the Welfare Ministry and was to be carried out by that Ministry. At that time it was the custom for such plans to be explained by the Planning Board, wherever they might have been proposed. Mr. HOSHINO did not show much interest in the population plan, although I believe he gave some explanation of it, nor did he express any opinion on it to me.

"Mr. HOSHINO gave his resignation to Prince
KONOYE in early April of 1941. Five days later, in accordance with the usual custom, I tendered my resignation
to the new President of the Planning Board and it was
accepted.

A There bering been beld Verticus confermoes of

the kind reserved by you fragmently, I think I ake

torded appr conferences at that nature, but I have

Signed "Tadayosho OBATA."

You may cross-examine.

I was a meaner of the cou

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. CROSS-EXAMINATION

2

1

BY BRIGADIER QUILLIAM:

4

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

22

23 24

Q Witness, I want to refer to that part of the second paragraph on page 2 of your affidavit in which you state that the China Incident was a disappointment to HOSHINO and he wanted it terminated as soon as possible. Did HOSHINO always hold that opinion?

A Yes, he did.

Q Have you any knowledge of a speech made by him on any occasion in which he expressed approval of the China Incident?

A I have no recollection.

Q Do you know of the Japan-Manchukuo-China Economic Council?

A Yes, I do.

Q Were you a member of that council?

A The council met often, but I do not remember.

I was a member of the council at times.

Q Do you mean that you were a member and attended some of its meetings?

A There having been held various conferences of the kind referred by you frequently, I think I attended some conferences of that nature, but I have

-	
	no clear recollection as to whether or not the con-
	ference I attended was the one referred to by you.
	Q My question was general. I wanted to know
	whether you had attended any of the conferences.
	A In view of my position, I think I was at one
	or another conference of that kind.
	Q Yes; as I would expect, it was a very import-
	ant economic organization, was it not?
	A I think it was an important conference.
	Q And the proceedings of the conferences or
	meetings were published in a book, were they not?
	A I've forgotten.
	BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tri-
	bunal, I offer for identification IPs document No.
	2551 which is a book entitled "Reports on Japanese-
1	Manchukuo-China Economic Round Table Conference."
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document No.
	2551 will receive exhibit No. 3215 for identification.
	(Whereupon, the document above
	referred to was marked prosecution exhibit
1	No. 3215 for identification.)
-	BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May that exhibit be
1	handed to the witness, please?
No.	(Whereupon, a document was handed
	to the witness.)

Q Now you see the book, witness. Can you not recognize it as what I have described it as being?

I do not recall ever having seen this book before.

I see. Well, now, will you turn to page 347 of the book. You observe there that there is a report of a speech made by HOSHINO at the conference at Hsinking on the 3rd of December, 1938; is that so?

A I do not know when the speech was made, but there is a speech by Mr. HOSHINO appearing in this publication.

Well, I am informed, witness, that it is shown there that it was delivered on the 3rd of December, 1938, but that is not very important at the moment. Now I want to read you part of that speech.

Perhaps the Language Fection could identify it for the witness. I have indicated the portions of the section.

- Yes, I have found the passage.
- I will read it. Q

(To the Tribunal) I understand there will be simultaneous translation of it, your Honor.

"'Looking back upon our East Asia in the

25

22

23

past, although it occupies an area equivalent to a little less than one-tenth of the total land area of the world and has a population reaching a little over one-fourth of the world's total population, it seems to have been vainly wandering in the dark.

"'However, the trend of the Orient to resstore her proper position in world civilization is now at its climax, driving away the evil clouds of many years.

"'The bell for the awakening of East Asia was sounded, I think, long ago in the Meiji Restoration of the Japanese Empire. And its first step realized on the continent was the Manchurian Incident, the recent China Incident being a development and expansion thereof.

"'In consequence of this, there was first
the establishment of Manchukuo, and now the establishment of the governments, each new and strong, in
North China, Central China, and on the Mongolian
Border.

"'Here we see the revelation of God and hear the voice of Heaven.

"'Construction of a new East Asia -- this indeed is truly the great duty imposed upon us, the people of East Asia. We must advance towards its

fulfillment with the determination to engage ourselves bravely in this duty by staking our eternal lives and honor.'"

CROSS

Witness, having read that extract from the speech, do you still assert that HOFHINO was opposed to the China Incident?

A Yes, I do assert.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, I offer in evidence a full report of that speech appearing in exhibit 3215, which is IPS document No. 2515A.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, we should like to take objection to this document until we have had time to peruse it and to make a decision as to whether we consider it sufficiently identified. The witness has not identified it, so we should like to take objection until we are properly satisfied as to the correct authentication, and other matters pertaining to the document.

THE PRESIDENT: Technically, he may be right,
Brigadier. It is like handing the witness a letter
that he has never seen before, the writer of which
he doesn't know and the contents of which he doesn't
know. Nevertheless, it is very technical.

2

4 5

3

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

I would not care to oppose vigorously my friend's application, but it did appear to me that a printed book, plainly the product of this important organization which the witness was a member of and could tell the Tribunal about, needed very little more authentication. Whether the witness knew of the speech or not, the fact is that it has probative value of a very high order by being published in a book of that kind.

11

. 1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

referred to the miner prosecution schibit.

woll you be autility Now 3215-Au.

No. 3215-4 and received in avidence.

Burnat Min Quillian Pay is plant the

the first makes by make to re-contract

ME. C. WILLIAMS! Say I ask for A

* RECEIPED T HOAMINATION

without, you have species of the distributes

ment of Mr. HORNING. Was that his present printed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT:	There is very	little doubt
about it, Mr. Williams,	and why should	we delay in
resolving a doubt which	we are certain	must be re-
solved?	you attend the	in particulati

We will admit it on the usual terms and that will mean that if you can attack the authenticity of the book you may do so later.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the ordinary distribution of the copies will be made.

will receive exhibit No. 3215-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3215-A and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, I have no further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams, do you want the witness? Do you want to re-examine?

MR. G. WILLIAMS: May I ask for just a moment for consultation, your Honor?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. G. WILLIAMS:

Q Witness, you have spoken of the disappointment of Mr. HOSHINO. Was that his personal opinion

3

4

6

8

7

10

9

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

or otherwise as expressed to you?

A I heard it directly and frequently from Mr. HOSHINO himself.

Q Mr. OBATA, did you attend this particular meeting which was allegedly held in Hsinking in 1938?

A I did not attend.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, we have no further questions of this witness. I should like, however, to reserve the right to read any excerpts from the publication which has been introduced into evidence inasmuch as with this brief notice given to us on this document we cannot tell whether the excerpt has been taken from context or otherwise.

THE PRESIDENT: Your rights will be fully protected.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: That was one reason why I made my original objection.

We ask that the witness be released on the usual terms.

THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: To further explain the conflict between the different schools of thought in the government concerning the new economic structure, we should like to read a brief excerpt from exhibit

2797, beginning with paragraph B-1 on page 7 and reading to the end of the page:

"1. The 'New Economic Structure'"-THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, my friend and I are not in agreement as to whether this portion of this exhibit was admitted when the document was produced as an exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: He could tender any part not admitted.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please your Honor, the difficulty arises in this way. Your Honor referred to seve. and a quarter pages of this exhibit being admitted. With the greatest respect, your Honor, I suggest, meant six and a quarter, and that is demonstrated, I suggest, conclusively by the fact that when Mr. Logan came to the end of six and a quarter pages he stopped reading from the document and said -- I am quoting from the transcript: "I am sorry I cannot read the rest of that document." He continued: "I think it is very informative to the Tribunal, but the ruling has been made."

THE PRESIDENT: What page of the transcript is that, Brigadier? I will look it up; I cannot recall a word of it.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: The relevant page of the transcript is 25,090, and what I have just read appears on page 25,101.

THE PRESIDENT: It looks as though Mr. Logan did not regard that part as admitted, so formally tender it and then we can debate whether it should be received.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: If your Honor please, at that time I noted Mr. Logan's error but I did not call it to his attention. The ruling of the Court had been made and I fully intended to go ahead and read this excerpt in the individual case.

THE PRESIDENT: Probably he thought I said six and a half; perhaps I did, but I am reported as saying seven and a half. I must say the reporting here is most accurate.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I recall your Honor's words and I can vouch for the accuracy of the record on this point because I was paying close attention.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: There is no doubt, if it please your Honor, about the accuracy of the record, but the whole argument on the objection to the admissibility of the document shows that your Honor meant to say six and a quarter but your Honor said seven and a quarter.

THE PRESIDENT: We must take the record as correct, Brigadier, so it looks as though you must tender 't again and we must have it debated if there is any objection.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, I think I am justified in agreeing to this portion being read.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I would like to point out, if your Honor please, that the reason objection was taken originally was because some of this later text referred to the wartime period; however, the part to which I refer refers to 1941 and not to the period of the Pacific War itself.

THE PRESIDENT: I am going on what Mr. Logan said. He said that I excluded that and I have no doubt he understood what I excluded although I said seven and a quarter instead of six and a quarter.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I re-offer, if the Tribunal please, this brief excerpt and I am informed the prosecution has no objections. That is the part beginning with paragraph B-1, the following twothirds of a page to the end of page 7.

THE PRESIDENT: It had better bear a letter if not a new number.

Admitted on the usual terms. It will be

24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

exhibit No. 2797-A.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CLERK OF THE COURT: Paragraph B, page 7, defense document 755, will receive exhibit No. 2797-A.

(Whereupon, the portion of the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2797-A and received in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I shall read this excerpt:

"1. The 'New Economic Structure' (Keizai Shin Taisei) and Major Industries Association Ordinance. The adoption of the 'New Economic Structure' which was sanctioned by the Major Industries Association Ordinance of 1 September 1941 (see Appendix Ib for the text of the Ordinance) indicates the failure of the Army plan to introduce a drastic program for state regimentation of industry and the transformation of private cartels into public organs directed by government officials." Omitting the next sentence. "This plan was inherited by the TOJO Cabinet when it entered office on 18 October 1941. Theoretically, under the provisions of the Imperial Ordinance, the former private cartels had become public organs termed control. ... societies (Tosei-kai) responsible to the Ministry of Commerce and Industry. The powers vested in the Ministry, however, were largely negated by its inability to appoint directors of its own choice as heads

of the control societies. The president of the control society, by the terms of the ordinance, is chosen by a nominating committee of industrialists appointed by the competent minister. In turn, the president chooses the other officers and directors from people experienced in the industry. This committee tended to appoint as presidents of the various control societies the existing presidents of the leading cartels."

Reading again from exhibit 3212-A, the prosecution's interrogation of HOSHINO, beginning with the 1 last paragraph on page 2, under date of 7 February 2 3 1946, page 18: "Q Did you become a member of the Imperial Rule 4 5 Assistance Association? Well, not in any particular sense but as a 6 7 member of the Cabinet. I was an advisor of the society. 8 All the Cabinet Ministers were. 9 You were an officer of that association? 10 II A 11 Were you on the Board of Directors or any "Q 12 permanent committee? 13 Yes, I was a member of the committee. I was "A 14 called upon to become a member of a committee to study 15 health matters after my resignation. It was for the 16 study of tuberculosis. 17 So that is all you did with that association? "0 18 Yes, that is all. 19 "A 20 "Page 19: In the spring of 1940, did MATSUOKA go to 21 "0 22 Germany and Italy? 23 "A Yes. And what was the result of his trip to Germany? 24 "0 I had resigned when MATSUOKA came back so I 25 "A

3

5

6

8 9

to

11

13

15

16

18

20

21

22

24

didn't hear -- I don't know the details or the secret details. But the most important result of the trip as a whole was the Russo-Japanese Treaty of Non-Aggression.

"Q And that was signed about the middle of April?

"A Yes.

"Q And was it considered at the Cabinet meeting at which you were present?

"A No, I didn't attend such a Cabinet meeting.

I had already resigned in April and since I was traveling during March I did not attend any of the Cabinet meetings."

Our next document is offered to show that the accused HOSHINO was not part of any conspiracy and will show how he was removed from the KONOYE Cabinet on April 3 and 4, 1941. This document consists of notes dictated by Prince KONOYE and is entitled, "The Change of Cabinet Ministers Related to Economic Affairs."

First, however, I wish to offer the identifying document, the affidavit of the witness, USHIBA, Prince KONOYE's private secretary. This affidavit is embodied in defense document 1930-A, which I herewith offer in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1930-A

will receive exhibit No. 3216.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3216 and received in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I read the affidavit:

"My name is USHIBA, Tomohiko. I reside at

No. 79 Iriuda in the city of Odawara. I have pre
viously testified in this case on two occasions

concerning the writings of Prince KONOYE, for whom

I acted as Private Secretary.

"I have been shown defense document No. 1930 entited, 'The Change of Cabinet Ministers Related to Economic Affairs.' I recognize this document to be in the handwriting of ARAYA, Shigeki, Prince KONOYE's Secretary, to whom he habitually dictated the day's happenings in the Cabinet business. It is similar to numbers of other documents of that nature dictated to ARAYA by Prince KONOYE.

"Signed: USHIBA, Tomohiko."

We next offer the document itself, defense document 1930.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1930

will receive exhibit No. 3216-A.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit 3216-A and received in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I read exhibit 3216-A:
"The Change of Cabinet Ministers Related to
Economic Affairs (Apr. 3, 4)

"After the Diet session, the reshuffling of the Cabinet became recognized generally as a matter of common sense. It was said that the Cabinet Ministers related to economic affairs were the weak point. The fact was that even if a conference of Cabinet Ministers related to economic affairs were held, there would have been no one capable of putting the matter into shape. Since Mr. HIRANUMA, Minister of Home Affairs and I were not well-versed in economic affairs, and since there was no alternative but to select a suitable person from the outside, I, after consulting with the Minister of Home Affairs, had to decide on whom to select. Mr. Seihin IKEDA was thought to be the proper choice, but he could not be very well drafted because there were strong objections to him by the reformists. Mr. Masatsune OGURA of Osaka became the next topic of our conversation." To the College and repetived their

I do not read the next two paragraphs.

"Pertaining to the change of other Cabinet"
Ministers related to economic affairs, Mr. IKEDA held

2

1

5

6

4

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

23

the opinion that the Finance Minister too must be replaced. Accordingly, Mr. Yadanji NAKAJIMA was suggested for this post. On the other hand, Mr. OGURA claimed that there was no necessity to change the Finance Minister, adding that NAKAJIMA especially was not acceptable. As candidates for Minister of Commerce and Industry, Mr. OGURA suggested HATA and MURATA, and if necessary FUJIWARA. The following names were subjected for the President of the Planning Board: Teiichi SUZUKI, Tatsuo YAMADA, KAYA, AOKI and INO.

"With that Mr. OGURA returned to Osaka.

March 30 issue of the Tokyo Nichi Nichi Newspaper
reported on the conference between OGURA and HIRANUMA
and also on OGURA's entrance into the Cabinet. On the
following day, the 31st, with the change in the staff
of the Sumimoto Honsha, Mr. OGURA's retirement as
general director was announced. Under the circumstances it became urgently necessary to make an
announcement. I had the Ministers of War and Navy
come to my Ogikubo residence. I told them about Mr.
OGURA's entrance into the Cabinet and received their
support. After both Ministers had left, I telephoned
Mr. OGURA of Osaka. Upon discussing his independent
entrance to the Cabinet, he still maintained that he

would be distressed if he were not appointed together with the others. He stated that he would arrive in Tokyo the following morning and discuss the matter then."

I will omit the first two paragraphs at the top of page 2.

"At 2000 o'clock that night, Mr. OGURA visited me and consented to enter the Cabinet alone. He left at 2100 that night. He said that he changed his mind, but the persuasion of Mr. YAMASHITA seemed to have had considerable effect. After Mr. OGURA left, I met with newspaper reporters and told them that this reconstruction was an independent thing. The newspaper apparently construed it to mean that the problem of the change of Cabinet Ministers relating to economic affairs had been settled for the time being. Accordingly the subsequent change in the Minister of Commerce and the President of the Planning Board was a complete surprise.

"On April 2, I made a confidential report to the Emperor and at 1430 o'clock of the same day the investiture of OGURA as Minister of State was held by His Majesty. OGURA left that night for Osaka for the purpose of reporting on his recent appointment at the Imperial Mausoleum. When I conferred with OGURA as to the possible choice of Teiichi SUZUKI as President of the Planning Board, which position must be filled by a

person who knows about the commodity mobilization plan and also the inner workings of the Army and who must be a forceful person, he expressed his approval.

"At 2030 o'clock of the 2nd, the day of Mr. OGURA's investiture, I called Lt. Gen. SUZUKI to my Ogikubo residence and proposed his appointment to the presidency of the Planning Board. Lt. Gen. SUZUKI replied it would be all right with him, but the Navy might object. Asserting that the balance of power between the Army and Navy would surely become a problem, he said he could not decide this matter himself and showed some disapproval. His point of view we indeed justified.

"On hearing this, a thought suddenly occurred to me. Then I should select the Minister of Commerce from the Navy and appoint Vice Navy Minister TOYODA as Minister of Commerce and Industry.

"This was the sudden thought which occurred to me from hearing Lt. Gen. SUZUKI's story. To be sure, I had the following preliminary data showing that Vice Navy Minister TOYODA was qualified for the suggested post:

"1. The story that Vice Minister TOYODA, at the conference of Vice Ministers, once argued down the Vice Minister of Commerce and Industry on the problem of iron and coal.

"2. According to Baron Bunkichi ITO, Mr. Hachisaburo HIRAO had Vice Minister TOYODA in mind as his successor for the post of the President of the Nippon Iron Manufacturing Company. On top of the fact that Mr. TOYODA was not altogether an amateur in commercial and industrial administration, his appointment as the Minister of Commerce would make the following matters possible:

the contract surface one one thought the further and

PROPERTY PROPERTY LINEARING, IT WIS WESTERNISHE CAME TYPING

the building tooking at it detically from the newed

for transferentiates of the minimum late & Marittons

workers about the the the state of the state

this then the to a descent particle farther pertaining

shoulder to be the for Was start. Ha left me at

"(1) The character of the Ministry of
Commerce and Industry as a Munitions Ministry would
become clear. This, together with the Planning Board
headed by President SUZUKI would mark and advance
toward the perfection of the national defense structure.

"(2) The balance of power between the army and navy would be maintained.

merce, he would lose face if he were replaced by a businessman or a young bureaucrat. (Actually there were no suitable persons among the businessmen and bureaucrats.) However, if his successor came from the military, looking at it entirely from the demand for transformation of the ministry into a Munitions Ministry, the matter of prestige for him would be dissolved. These and many other things could be enumerated. When I mentioned this to Lt. General SUZUKI, he said this was a capital idea and said he would also mention it to the War Minister. He left me at 23:00 o'clock that night.

"When leaving me Lt. General SUZUKI said that should the topic of the conversation leak out, he would, if questioned by newspapermen on tonight's interview, tell them that we discussed various matters pertaining to the East Asia Bureau of the Yokusankai (Imperial

Rule Assistance Association).

"On April 3, when I met Navy Minister OIKAWA
in the waiting room of the Imperial Sanctuary on
Emperor JIMMU Day, I discussed this matter with him.
The Navy Minister endorsed this as excellent and said
that since War Minister TOJO was not there, after
conferring with the War Minister, he would again speak
about it to me.

"After that, upon relating this to KIDO, Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, in the same waiting room of the Imperial Sanctuary, he also endorsed it.

"That day about 14:20 o'clock the private secretary of the Navy Minister informed me on the telephone that both the War Minister and Navy Minister would soon call at my OGIKUBO residence. Both ministers arrived at 14:40 o'clock and gave me their replies of endorsement. On this occasion War Minister TOJO suggested that Lt. General SUZUKI be placed on the reserve list and appointed not only as President of the Planning Board but also hold the additional office of Minister of State without Portfolio. I agreed to this suggestion. OIKAWA also stated that Vice-Admiral TOYODA would be placed on the reserve list.

"After both the War Minister and the Navy
Minister had left at 15:30 o'clock, I telephoned to

the Osaka residence of Mr. OGURA, Minister of State without Portfolio, but he was absent, for he had not yet returned from his visit to the Imperial Mausoleum. After a while, at 18:45 of the same day, there was a telephone call from Mr. OGURA and I talked to him about the appointments of Lt. General SUZUKI and Vice-Admiral TOYODA. When I asked for his opinion, Mr. OGURA also heartily endorsed this. Then I reported by telephone the progress of the discussions so far, together with the support of Mr. OGURA, to KIDO, Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, Minister of Home Affairs HIRANUMA, Navy Minister OIKAWA, and War Minister TOJO.

"That night at 23:10, I called Navy Minister OTKAWA by phone and found out that when the Navy Minister conferred with Vice-Minister TOYODA at 20:00 that night, the Vice-Minister was much reductant and requested time to ponder over it.

"On the morning of April 4, OKA, Chief of the Bureau of Naval Affairs of the Naval Ministry, came to make an interim report, informing me that it was still difficult to realize. So I requested that the Navy Minister try once more to persuade Mr. TOYODA.

"However, Navy Minister OIKAWA reported to me just prior to the cabinet conference that, although everything would be all right, he wanted the Premier to speak in person. Accordingly, at 11:30 of the same day, I had Vice-Minister TOYODA come to my official residence. Although I spoke to him in person, he did not rep' in the affirmative, saying that he had no confidence in his ability to hold the post. He wanted to have another day to think it over. Lest the story might leak if another day were taken, I asked him to give me a reply by 18:00 that night.

"When the "ar and Navy Ministers called on me later, they asked me about Mr. TOYODA's reply.

When I related the aforementioned matter, the War Minister wanted an answer by 15:00, saying 18:00 would be too late. Since the army had already obtained the consent of Lt. General SUZUKI, and had begun the mocessary procedure, there was a fear of leakage and therefore it wanted the navy to expedite the matter. Of course, it was better for me too to have it settled as soon as possible, so we decided to have the Navy Minister persuade Mr. TOYODA once more. I summoned HIRANUMA, Minister of Home Affairs, and requested that he too meet to persuade Vice-Minister TOYODA.

"As a result, at 15:00 of the same day, Navy Minister OIKAWA and Vice-Minister TOYODA came to my official residence together. The reply of consent was finally obtained. At that time the Navy Minister said that he would recommend the promotion of Vice-Minister TOYODA to full admiral. I had heard that the Vice-Minister was due for promotion to full admiral that autumn. It seems that since Mr. TOYODA desired much to remain in active service, it was arranged to have him put on the reserve list in exchange for his pro-

"Since Mr. TOYODA consented, I called and told HOSHINO, President of the Planning Board. He had been already informed beforehand by War Minister TOJO. It was quirely settled. On the part of Minister of Commerce and Industry KOBAYASHI, various rumors were circulated among the people. Since there were rumors that he might refuse to resign, I had Mr. Akira KAZAMI come to the Japanese room of my residence and told him the state of things so far and had him go to Minister of Commerce and Industry KOBAYASHI.

"Upon hearing that his successor was ViceMinister TOYODA of the Navy, Mr. KOBAYASHI gladly
entrusted his resignation to Mr. KAZAMI, explaining
that the choice suited him, although he would not
consent if the choice were Mr. FUJIWARA. The matter
was settled in about 20 minutes and Mr. KAZAMI returned.

"Accordingly, after inquiring as to the convenien: of the Imperial Court, I went to the Imperial

*

motion to full admiral.

Q

Palace at 17:30 and unofficially reported to His
Majesty the proposed appointments. Having received
the Imperial sanction, I announced this at once. At
20:00 of the same day, the investiture ceremony for
Mr. TOYODA as Minister of Commerce and Industry and
Mr. SUZUKI as President of the Planning Board was held.

"Supplementary News.

"Since this reorganization was effected in absolute secrecy, the public was completely surprised when the announcement was made.

"It was because it had materialized in such a short time. Another reason was, with the appointment of Mr. OGURA as Minister without Portfolio, the supposition became stronger that the change of the Minister of Commerce and Industry, President of the Planning Board and others would be executed gradually in accordance with Mr. OGURA's opinion. Due to the fact that April 3 was a press holiday, the attention of the press was not as sharp as it was on other days. This also had some influence.

"I understand that when the announcement was made after the Prime Minister met in private with the Emperor, the newspaper reporters said, 'We were beaten.' It was exactly so.

"On the morning of the fourth, Maj. Gen. Tsukimi AKINAGA, who was an investigator of the Planning Board and became the section chief under new President SUZUKI, came to INADA, Chief of General Affairs Section of the Cabinet, and indignantly said, 'President HOSHINO is willing to resign. Why should persons like KOBAYASHI be allowed to stay in office to dilly dally? Under the circumstances there is no alternative but to dismiss him.' I wonder what kind of face Maj. Gen. AKINAGA had when he heard the announcement in the afternoon.

"When private secretary TAKAMURA was sick in bed, in the afternoon of the 3rd, there was a phone call from the private secretary of the Navy Minister, 15 indicating that the War and the Navy Ministers would 16 like to call on the Premier. He suggested that inquiry 17 be made direct to my private residence. In the evening 18 of the next day, the fourth, Mr. TAKAMURA heard the sound of bells with shouts of newspaper extras. 20 he was wondering what it could be about, he received a phone call from USHIBA, another private secretary, informing him about the Cabinet reorganization. Surprised by this report, he recalled the phone call of the previous day.

12

25

"Struck with admiration by the brilliant achieve-

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

ment of the reorganization, which they characterized as a stroke of genius, all the newspapers expressed approval of this reorganization and are placing great expectations in the future."

We call as our next witness OSHIMA, Hiroo.

HIROO OSHIMA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. G. WILLIAMS:

Q Witness, will you tell the Tribunal your name and address, please?

A My name is OSHIMA, Hiroo. My address is No. 81 Honan-cho, Suginami-ku, Tokyo.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: May the witness be shown defense document No. 2522?

("hereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

"ill you examine that document and state whether or not it is your affidavit?

This is my affidavit.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence defense document No. 2522, the affidavit of the witness OSHIMA. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2522 will receive exhibit No. 3217.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3217 and received in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: Omitting the formal parts,
I read the affidavit, beginning on page 2:

"1. Around the middle of September of 1940, when I was one of the section chiefs of the Home Ministry, I was asked by the authorities dealing with personnel in the same Ministry if I would go to the Total War Research Institute which was to be opened soon, and I gave them my consent.

"2. The aim and the business of the Institute were just as provided for in the Imperial Ordinance concerning the Total War Research Institute. However, as I understood then, its chief business was rather for the education of the younger people so as to do away with sectionalism then prevailing among government offices and civilian organizations. The idea for the Institute had originated with the 1st KONOE and YONAI Cabinet, but its realization did not occur until KONOE's 2nd Cabinet.

"3. The Institute was to be opened on October 1; however, since my duty was to do miscellaneous

*

7 8

business, I went to its office for preparation beforehand. It had no office of its own yet, and rented a
small room in the Houses of Parliament Building without any desks, chairs, or other business facilities.

I had to arrange with some other offices for the use
of furnitures necessary for business.

of the Institute, we for the first time met with Mr.

HOSHINO, the acting president of the Institution, but
he did not say anything other than that he would leave
all matters to us. At that time the staff of the
Institution consisted of seven including myself and we
were to start the work in this rented office with a
clerk, a typist and a telephone operator. However,
being without any order or instruction from the Prime
Winister, under whose administration the Institute was
placed or Mr. HOSHINO, the acting president, we, the
staff members, had to study by ourselves what kind of
work should be carried on.

was removed from the Houses of Parliament Building to one of the houses belonging to the Cabinet, but we were to share this house with other offices for some time. From April 1941, about 35 students entered the Institution, and in a converted lecture room in this house the

lectures were begun. But as the house became too small a new building was erected.

"6. As I recollect, the budget of the Institute for the first year of its opening was yen 160,000, which was to be spent in paying Institute personnel and office expenditures. There was no estimate of expenditures for the work of the Institute.

"7. As the Institute did not, for practical purposes, operate until January of 1941, when Lt. Gen. IIMURA became president, we had no business on which to report to Mr. HOSHINO. During this period he did not visit the Institution at all, gave us no order, instruction or suggestion concerning it, and showed no interest in the work of the Institute. Being embarrassed at such a situation, I had to go to his office twice to ask him for information as to when the president would be selected.

"8. About May or June of 1941 Mr. HOSHINO was selected by the Institution as one of the councillors which consisted of 15 or 16 persons; however, the position of councillor was really nominal and honorary, having no practical work in relation to the Institute, and I'r. HOSHINO as such gave us neither instruction nor suggestion.

"9. Lt. Gen. IIMURA was formally appointed

the president of the Institution in January 1941; however, he had arrived in Tokyo in November with an informal order to be the future president, and, with the request of the staff of the Institute, he advised us and took over practical leadership. With this, the works of the Institute began to be in a little more concrete shape. In other words, he started to draft various plans and to make preparations for education. The adoption of the system of the total maneuver in education was one of the plans thus framed under his guidance at this time.

"As I was told, the circumstance under which

Lt. Gen. IIMURA was picked as the President was that he
was well qualified as an educator of young students and
had an even temperament. As the president, he proved to
be a good educator as we had expected. He once said to
me jokingly of his disappointment in holding such a
second-rate position.

"10. As for the students of the Institute, we admitted from one to four from each Department of the Government proportionately to the number of officials therein, and we also took six or seven from business or anizations.

"There were some taken from the press among the latter.

the president of the Institution in January 1941; however, he had arrived in Tokyo in November with an informal order to be the future president, and, with the request of the staff of the Institute, he advised us and took over practical leadership. With this, the works of the Institute began to be in a little more concrete shape. In other words, he started to draft various plans and to make preparations for education. The adoption of the system of the total maneuver in education was one of the plans thus framed under his guidance at this time.

"As I was told, the circumstance under which

Lt. Gen. IIMURA was picked as the President was that he
was well qualified as an educator of young students and
had an even temperament. As the president, he proved to
be a good educator as we had expected. He once said to
me jokingly of his disappointment in holding such a
second-rate position.

"10. As for the students of the Institute, we admitted from one to four from each Department of the Government proportionately to the number of officials therein, and we also took six or seven from business or anizations.

"There were some taken from the press among the latter.

"11. The Institute personnel were reduced on account of the policy of the simplification of administration at the end of 1942 during the Pacific War, and the Institute, for practical purposes, ceased to operate at the end of 1943, when the third group of students finished their course.

"Signed: OSHIMA, Hiroo."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fif-

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fiftern minutes.

("hereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

25

W	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
0 1 f 2 & 3	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
	MR. SHIOBARA: I would like to ask one ques-
	tion for the defendant KIMURA. I am Counsel SHIOBARA.
L 4 e f 5	THE PRESIDENT: Counsel SHIOBARA.
î .	CROSS-EXAMINATION
e 6	BY MR. SHIOBARA:
8	Q Did the defendant KIMURA ever attend a meet-
9	ing of the Total War Research Institute as a councilor?
10	A He has never attended any meeting or gather-
11	ing of the Institute.
12	Q Then, was he a councilor in name only, and
13	did he actually not take part in any of its activities?
14	A Councilor of the Institute, as I have ex-
15	plained in my affidavit, was in name only and did not
16	engage in any activities or business of the organ-
17	ization.
18	THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.
19	BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: There will be no cross-
20	examination, if if please the Tribunal.
21	MR. G. WILLIAMS: We ask that the witness be
22	released on the usual terms.
23	THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

ness MURAKAMI.

24

25

	MR. G. WILLIAMS: The defense calls as its
1	next witness MURAKAMI, Hajimu.
2	
3	HAJIMU MURAKAMI, called as a witness or
4	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
5	testified through Japanese interpreters as
6	follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. G. WILLIAMS:
10	Q Titness, would you state to the Tribunal
11	your name and address, please?
12	A My name is MURAKAMI, Hajimu; my address is
13	2314 Midorigaoka, Meguro-ku, Tokyo.
14	MR. G. WILLIAMS: May the witness be shown
15	defense document No. 2598?
16	(Whereupon, a document was handed
17	to the witness.)
18	Q Would you examine that document and state
19	whether or not it your affidavit?
20	A This is unquestionably my affidavit.
21	MR. G. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence
22	defense document No. 2598, the affidavit of the wit-
25	

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2598

will receive exhibit No. 3218.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3218 and received in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: Omitting the first two paragraphs, I read the affidavit:

"In July, 1940 (Showa 15) when Mr. HOSHINO,
Naoki, took office as president of the Planning Board,
I was appointed as secretary to the president. From
then until April of the following year, when Mr.
HOSHINO resigned from the post of president of the
Planning Board, I worked closest to him and then I
returned to the Ministry of Finance. I am now working
at the Accountants' Bureau.

"Even after resigning from my post as his secretary, at the request of Mr. HOSHINO, I almost always lived at his private house, and took charge of the transaction of his miscellaneous business, collection of materials for his speeches and his discussions, arrangement of his manuscripts, and whatnot.

"Now I will state below what I know directly or from those who were with him about the movements of Mr. HOSHINO during the period between his resignation from the post of president of the Planning Board of the KONOYE Cabinet in April, 1941 (Showa 16) and his

inauguration as Chief Secretary of the TOJO Cabinet, in October of the same year.

"After his retirement from his office as president of the Planning Board, Mr. HOSHINO spent his days chiefly in reading books, exercises and travels to the provinces.

"Those who visited him most frequently at that time were Mr. ISHIWATA, Sotaro, Mr. IRIMANO, Takeo and Mr. OBATA, Tadayoshi, Mr. HOSHINO's intimate friends since his school days. These men visited him as comparions in conversations and amusements. Besides these people, Mr. KAWAI, Yoshinari, Mr. TAKEUCHI, Tokuji, and Mr. IDE, Norimichi, a painter, came to his house to play tennis with him. These were about all who ever visited him during the period and no visit or contact ever was made by any of the so-called professional politicians. His life was that of unemployment to the letter.

"Mr. HOSHINO visited Mr. ToJo only once.

Immediately after his resignation, he dropped in the official residence of the War Minister for a courtesy call regarding the resignation. He has never visited Mr. ToJo since then, nor has he ever seen Mr. ToJo at any place outside their homes, nor has he ever talked with Mr. ToJo on the telephone.

3

"As for his local trips it was as he said when he resigned as the president of the Planning Board that 'because of my being away in Manchuria for so long a period there is a necessity thereafter to look about the actual conditions in every field more frequently than ever. Starting with the trip for Kansai area in April 1941, he made such long trips as going to Hokuyiku, Amanohashidate and Fukuchiyama areas, Shikoku and Okayawa areas, Hokkaido area, Tohoku area and Kobe, Nagoya, Kyoto and so on, which were more often interrupted by short trips such as to Oshima, Gumma Prefecture and the like; so much so that he could hardly find time to rest at his home in Tokyo. Except for the initial trip to Kansai area on which I accompanied him, his trips were as a rule with his daughter or his other family members. In October he planned to come back to Tokyo, after going to Kobe, Kyoto, Nagoya areas, in order to attend the discussion meeting "ponsored by the 'Oriental Economist Publishing Co., Ltd., and from the 19th of the same month on, to go to the northern part of Kyushu and Korea. The previous consultations concerning the date of his start, etc., with Mr. DATE, Muneaki (then serving at the Finance Ministry) who was to go with him, had been already completed. Making use of the few days of his

10

11

12

13

18

20

22

24

```
1 stay in Tokyo, he went on 19 October to the Kabuki
Theater together with his family. Just then, around
3 rive o'clock PM, at the entrance of the Kabuki Theater,
4 he read an extra reporting 'The Imperial Order Came
5 for Mr. TOJO, ' and Mr. HOSHINO for the first time knew
6 the fact. With only the observation, 'Mr. TOJO will
7 have hardships, he continued seeing the Kabuki play.
8 Then unexpectedly before eight o'clock PM he was called
9 but by loud speaker, and was told to go to General
10 TOJO's residence.
          "On this account he was obliged to hurriedly
11
12 cancel his prearranged trips after the 19th.
13
           "/S/ MURAKAMI, Hajimu"
14
          You may cross-examine.
          BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tri-
15
16 bunal, there will be no cross-examination.
          MR. G. WILLIAMS: We ask that the witness be
17
   eleased on the usual terms.
18
19
           THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.
                (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
20
21
      MR. G. WILLIAMS: In order to show the duties
22
  of the Chief Cabinet Secretary, we offer decense docu-
  ment No. 2144, the organization of the officers and
  officials attached to the Cabinet.
```

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2144 2 will receive exhibit No. 3219. 3 (Whereupon, the document above 4 referred to was marked defense exhibit 5 No. 3219 and received in evidence.) 6 MR. G. WILLIAMS: I shall read articles 1, 7 2. and 9: 8 "Article 1. 9 "The Cabinet Secretariat and the following 10 three bureaus shall be established in the Cabinet: 11 "The Pension Bureau 12 "The Statistics Bureau 13 14 "The Printing Bureau "Article 2. 15 "The Cabinet Secretariat shall take charge 16 of the following business. 17 "1. Matters concerning the promulgation of 18 Imperial edicts and decrees, laws and ordinances. 19 "2. Matters concerning the custody of the 20 originals of the Imperial Japanese Constitution, Im-21 perila Rescripts, Imperial decrees, laws and ordinances. 22 "3. Matters concerning the Examination, 23 drafting, receipt and dispatch of official documents. 24 25 "4. Matters concerning the appointment,

1 promotion, resignation and status of officials. "5. Matters concerning the personal history 2 of higher officials of every office. 3 "6. Matters concerning the custody of 4 official seals. 5 "7. Matters concerning the editing and 6 preservation of official documents. 7 "8. Matters pertaining to fiscal accounts 8 of the Cabinet. "9. Matters pertaining to the Imperial 10 Rule Assistance Association, and general matters con-11 cerning the movement to assist the Imperial Rule." 12 Turing to page 6: 13 "Article 9. 14 "The Chief Secretary shall assist the Prime 15 Minister, take charge of confidential documents, 16 manage the general affairs of the Cabinet, superintend 17 officials in the Secretariat and himself handle the 18 appointment, promotion and resignation of officials 19 of Hannin and inferior ranks." 20 By way of contrast, I should like to read 21 also article 12, concerning the office of secretary to 22 the Prime Minister which, of course, the accused 23 HOSHINO did not hold: 24 "Article 12. 25

"The Secretary to the Prime Minister shall take charge of secret business under the directions of the Prime Minister." We call as our next witness INADA, Shuichi. 5 6 7 9 il Historianto-constit, Commerce, Burday Section, Towns, 10 ant of the talks I ask out the stimess be 11 course Serence Comment So. 2595. 12 13 14 I - This you exact no that deputors and armed 15 more her or not that is your affinerst. 16 17 Are the someone thereof true and sorreits 18 19 ME. C. WILLIAMS : We offer in avidence Selected 20 for heat No. 23ed, the affiliavit of the exemple INALA. 21 22 23 24 to were marked defence exhibit to. 3000 out required 25

1	SHUICHI INADA, called as a witness
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly
3	sworn, testified through Japanese interpret-
4	ers as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
;	BY MR. G. WILLIAMS:
	Q Would you state your name and address to the
	Tribunal, please?
	A My name is INADA, Shuichi and my address No.
	24 Nishikata-machi, Komagome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo.
	MR. G. WILLIAMS: I ask that the witness be
	shown defense document No. 2590.
	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
	the witness:)
	Q Will you examine that document and state
	whether or not that is your affidavit.
	A This is my affidavit.
	Q Are the contents thereof true and correct?
	A Yes.
)	MR. G. WILLIAMS: We offer in evidence defense
)	document No. 2590, the affidavit of the witness INADA.
	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
2	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2590
3	will receive exhibit No. 3220.
1	(Whereupon, the document above referred
5	to was marked defense exhibit No. 3220 and received

in evidence.)

MR. G. WILLIAMS: I read the affidavit, beginning with paragraph two:

"I was Chief of the General Affairs Section of the Cabinet Secretariat from November 1937 until April 1945. Thus I was in this position when Mr. HOSHINO, Naoki, was president of the Planning Board and Minister Without Portfolio in the Second KONOYE Cabinet in 1940, and sometime later, after his appointment as Chief Secretary of the TOJO Cabinet in October 1941, I served under him. One of my duties was to be in charge of the documents filed in the Cabinet Secretariat.

"Next I shall speak about the matter of how 'explainers' attended the Privy Council meeting. In my position with the Cabinet Secretariat I used to receive notices from the Secretary of the Privy Council to arrange to send the minimum number of explainers necessary to attend a particular meeting of the Privy Council. I would then notify the various ministers to this effect and they in turn would decide on and issue orders to their subordinates as to who would attend the meeting as explainers to assist them.

"About six o'clock in the evening of the day when General TOJO was appointed by the Emperor to form a cabinet in October 1941, as I recall, I was requested

to see him quite suddenly. When I visited him at the official residence of the War Minister, Colonel AKAMATSU, who later became the secretary to the Premier, was also there waiting for me. General TOJO said to me that, being appointed as Prime Minister, he had to select someone as Chief Secretary to the Cabinet. However, he was not familiar with the affairs of the civil service and he wanted me to state frankly my opinion on what kind of person could be deemed proper for the post of Chief Secretary, and who would be most fitting to discharge the secretarial business from the point of view of those of us in the Cabinet Secretariat who would be under him. I first hesitated to answer but, being rather pressed by Colonel AKAMATSU for my unreserved opinion, I stated my general opinion to the following effect: The Chief Secretary should be first, a man of ability without being autocratic and second, should have a good record in the civil service. General TOJO then gave me the names of some persons, one of whom was Mr. HOSHINO, He asked me if we, the Secretariat, could discharge our business smoothly under him. I replied that Mr. HOSHINO had had much experience in official business so that I thought him the proper person for the post and that we of the Secretariat could work well under him. General TOJO then asked me

4 5

3

8

9

11

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

4

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

.17

18

19

20

21

22

concerning the procedure to be followed in forming a cabinet, after which he thanked me for my opinion and I left. The next day I learned that Mr. HOSHINO had been appointed Chief Secretary of the Cabinet. After his appointment, Mr. HOSHINO told me that all he had been doing after resigning from the KONOYE Cabinet in April 1941 and until just before his recent appointment was to travel around Japan.

"It was part of the prescribed duties of the Chief Cabinet Secretary to have Imperial Rescripts prepared in the Secretariat. Accordingly, during the latter part of November 1941, Mr. HOSHINO ordered me to prepare an Imperial Rescript to be issued in the event the negotiations with the United States should be successful, and another Imperial Rescript proclaiming a state of war with the United States and Great Britain. The former was listed on a memorandum which Mr. HOSHINO gave me saying, 'This should also be prepared as it may be necessary. The memorandum was written in pencil in Mr. HOSHINO's handwriting, containing five items listing things to be done in the event the negotiations with the United States were successful, and read: 'Measures to be taken in case Japan should suffer great hardship -- (1) Request the Emperor to proclaim Imperial Decree -- Settle the People's Minds and Retention of the

23 24

National Power. This is as much as I remember of what I read. The memorandum was then typed and I returned it to Mr. HOSHINO. The memorandum was placed in the Secretariat files and was later destroyed by fire as I heard during an air raid in 1945.

HOSHINO I began drafting the Imperial Rescript. I showed the draft Rescript for peace to Mr. HOSHINO early in December, I believe it was, after he had told me to hurry the preparation of it. After looking it over, he did not approve the draft but returned it to me for reconsideration. It was my intention at the time to revise the draft and resubmit it, but the press of other business was too great and it remained in a pending status until after the outbreak of the Pacific war. If this draft had been needed for actual use, the normal course would have been to revise it several times until the Rescript was satisfactory.

"I cannot remember now the exact contents of this draft of the Imperial Rescript for peace. However, its general purport was that Japan would be put in a very difficult position but that the Japanese people should endeavor to maintain the national strength and keep friendly relations with the other nations of the world. This draft was, of course, never used. After the outbreak of hostilities I destroyed the only two

INADA

copies of the draft which had been made, i.e., the one in my own handwriting and the other which I had shown Mr. HOSHINO but which he had returned to me. This destruction was done since it was recognized that there was no further need for the drafts and also because it was a practice in the Cabinet Secretariat that, inasmuch as Imperial Rescripts are issued from the Throne, all preparatory drafts had to be burned.

"/s/ INADA, Shuichi"

I should like to ask the witness an additional two questions.

. May the witness be shown exhibit No. 3219, the Cabinet Regulations.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to

the witness.)

BY MR. G. WILLIAMS (Continued):

Q Witness, in Article 9 of that document it is stated that The Chief Secretary shall assist the Prime Minister, take charge of confidential documents..."
Will you explain to the Tribunal what the nature of those confidential documents were which were handled by the Chief Secretary?

A Principally, documents relating to personnel matters and documents which had been referred to Cabinet meetings.

9

10

6

7

3

12

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	Ų	How many	secretaries	did	the	Premier	have?
That	is,	General S	rojo.		Stall Service	,当然就是对自	Mag-th -

A At the time of the TOJO Cabinet there were four private secretaries attached to the Prime Minister.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER QUILLIAM:

Q Witness, the appointment of the Chief Cabinet Secretary was the personal appointment of the Prime Minister, was he not?

A The procedure at that time was for the Premier Designate to make a report to the Throne thereof and the appointment was made by the Throne, but the selection was made by the Prime Minister and the official investiture -- official appointment -- takes place following receipt of Imperial sanction.

Q And in fact whenever there is a change of Prime Minister there is a change of Chief Cabinet Secretary, is there not?

A Yes, customarily.

Q How many names were mentioned to you by TOJO at this meeting you speak about in your affidavit?

A I recall that he named two.

22

23

24

1	
1	Q Who were they?
2	A The other party was SHIOBARA, Tokisaburo.
3	MR. G. WILLIAMS: We ask that the witness be
4	released on the usual terms.
5	THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly.
6	(Whereupon, the witness was
7	excused.)
8	MR. G. WILLIAMS: Again reading from exhibit
9	No. 3212-A, the interrogation of the accused HOSHINO
10	by the prosecution, beginning on page 3, the 26th of
11	February 1946, and reading the remainder of the docu-
12	ment:
13	"Q Now, Mr. HOSHINO, you stated that you were
14	president of the Planning Board until April 1941.
15	What did you do after that?
16	
17	special job. I was visiting various parts of the
18	
19	"Q And what were the visits? Of a business or
20	of a pleasure nature?
21	
22	
23	
24	
2	· 图像是我是被交换的不安全的,但是这种人的是是这种的。

the country.

"Q What was the reason for the collapse of the Third KONOYE Cabinet, Mr. HOSHINO?

3

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

"A I did not know at that time the reason for I had been out on many trips since between August and October, lowever, afterward I did hear that the KONOYE Cabinet collapsed because there was internal friction.

"Q How did you happen to become Secretary of the Cabinet, Mr. HOSHINO?

"A There was no special reason except that I had been requested to become the Cabinet Secretary on the 17th by TOJO. At that time I had already purchased a ticket in anticipation of going to Korea.

"Q You and General TOJO were old friends, were you not, Mr. HOSHINO?

"A I would not say exactly old friends, however, TOJO was in Manchuria for about two years at the time I was and that is how I came to know him and also when TOJO was the Minister of War and I was on the Cabinet Plannin, Loard.

"Q Who appointed you as president of the Cabinet Planning Board originally?

"A Prince KONOYE.

"Q Did you, as Secretary, attend all of the meetings of the Cabinet, Mr. HOSHINO?

"A I did not attend as a Cabinet member, however,

I did sit in on the meetings. There also were two other persons that sat in on this conference. The legislative and the intelligence members sat in on these conferences.

"Q As Secretary of the Cabinet Board, did you have a vote on any of the questions presented there?

"A No, no rights for a vote.

"Q Did you keep notes of the Cabinet meetings during TOJO's regime?

"A's No. what, that if the newstrations did not

"Q Did anybody else in the Cabinet keep any notes, or minutes, or memorandum?

"A No, the president of the Information Bureau gave whatever information there was to the newspapers.

"Q" -- this is from prosecution exhibit No. 2225, page 15 -- "I am advised, Mr. HOSHINO, that you were the closest man in the Cabinet to General TOJO. How about that?

"A As the Chief Secretary, I was the clesest to TOJO, however, I cannot say that I was the closest advisor."

That is the end of the quotation from the prosecution's exhibit. I continue:

"Q I am advised that you also were a strong supporter of TOJO's war policy. Is that not correct?

10

6

8

11

13

14

16

18

20

22

24

I have not been asked of any advice by TOJO previous to the war, nor have I given any. I have just carried out instructions as a secretary. "Q Did the Prime Minister say anything? (At Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941.) "A le explained the situation as it was. "Q What did he explain? "A I don't remember exactly what was said. "Q What was it in substance? "A Roughly, that if the negotiations did not succeed during the beginning of December, there would be war. "Q Were you present at this Imperial Conference? "A Yes, I was but not as a member. "Q Did you make any suggestions? (At Privy Council meeting of 8 December 1941.) "A I was not permitted to express anything. "Q Was the Chief of the Information Board present at the meeting of the Privy Council? "A I believe he was present though I cannot say definitely that he was. As the Chief of the Cabinet Information Board and myself as well are not members we would not have to attend the meetings except those that pertained to our duties so that possibly he may

have attended this. If they were all ministers it

19

1

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21 22

23

24

would be compulsory, more or less, to attend these meetings, but as these people are merely in line of duty only and not as a member I would not be able to say definitely that they were."

May it please the Tribunal, that concludes the presentation of evidence on behalf of the accused HOSHINO.

If the Tribunal please, at this time this counsel would most respectfully request permission from the Tribunal to withdraw from the case due to the urgency of returning to the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: You explained your position in Chambers, Mr. Williams. We regret your withdrawal at this stage. We hoped to have your services to the end of the trial. You are withdrawing for strong personal reasons. We regret the occasion, and we consent to your withdrawal.

MR. G. WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Warren will continue with the presentation of evidence.

There is negligible listery in this accounty

that we don't to get all the extension of the technical

No. 2022. This demand we no dot divers to best

22

1

2

5

6

8

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: We have not prepared an opening statement in the personal phase of the defense case for the accused HIRANUMA, and will proceed at this time with the presentation of our evidence.

At this time we should like to refer to prosecution's exhibit 83; which is an ordinance creating and regulating the Privy Council.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel, we haven't proof of documents; at least, I haven't.

MR. WARREN: Oh, I am sorry, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: I have one now.

MR. WARREN: I am referring to prosecution's exhibit 83. The prosecution read part of this document, and we desire to read at this time Article 8 of Charter II, consisting of only two lines, that is, Article 8:

"Though the Privy Council is the Emperor's highest resort of counsel, it shall not interfere with the executive."

There is nothing further in this document that we desire to call to the attention of the Tribunal at this time.

We now offer in evidence defense document No. 2422. This document we do not desire to read,

6

4

8

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

but offer it in evidence for the reason that the prosecution, in referring to the accused HIRANUMA, refer d to him as a "Gijokan counsellor," appointed by the Cabinet. This document will show that a Senior Gijokan was nothing more than a member of a decoration conference, and that it had no significance politically or governmentally whatsoever.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2422

will receive exhibit No. 3221.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3221 and received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN: We now offer in evidence defense document No. 1500-A-7. This is an official United States Government document and is a telegram sent by Ambar dor Grew containing a message in which the Prime Minister, at that time the accused HIRANUMA, urges the President of the United States to a joint effort to prevent war in Europe.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1500-A-7 will receive exhibit No. 3222.

MR. WARREN: I will omit the purely formal portions and start with the first paragraph:

"At my conference today with the Minister for Foreign Affairs ARITA handed me the following message which the Prime Minister" --

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel, the Judges haven't their copies yet. I am sorry to interrupt you.

MR. WARREN: "At my conference today with the Minister for Foreign Affairs ARITA handed me the following message which the Prime Minister requested me to deliver personally to the Secretary of State on my forthcoming visit to Washington in the hope that the message may also be brought to the attention of the President:

"At present there is a serious antagonism
along the nations of Europe and no one can assure
that there will be no clash in the near future. If,
by mischance, war is to break out, its consequence
would be practically beyond our imagination and the
indescribable sufferings of hundreds of millions of
people as well as the complete destruction of civilization would ensue. It is, therefore, absolutely
necessary for us to exert our effort to prevent the
occurrence of such catastrophe, and, I believe, that
is the duty mainly incumbent on the United States and

J: pan since these two Powers are situated outside the scope of European conflict.

"Then what are the causes of this antogonism in Europe? There may be contentions on both sides but on cool scrutiny of the European situations since the World War we come to the conclusion that, although Germany and Italy may be advised to be more patient, Great Britain and France also have a great deal to reconsider.

"Undoubtedly, the intention of the United States Government is to prevent the occurrence of such catastrophe and thus to save Europe from the. misery of war. Similarly, it is the ardent wish of Japan that nations should have their own proper places in the world and thus the true world peace might be established and maintained. I, for myself, am doing my utmost to realize this ideal, and on this point, I believe, will be found the possibility of much closer cooperation between Japan and America as well as the foundation of a deeper mutual understanding between the two nations."

Signed, "Grew."

At this time we offer in evidence defense document No. 1500-B-7. This document, like the previous one, is an official United States Government document and is a telegram to Eugene H. Dooman, which contains the United States Government's reply to the message referred to in the previous exhibit, that is, defense document 1500-A-7.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1500-B-7 will receive exhibit No. 3223.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3223 and received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN: Omitting the purely formal portions:

"Sir: Reference is made to the Embassy's telegram No. 234, May 18, 5 p. m., in which there is given the text of a message from the Prime Minister which was handed to Ambassador Grew by the Minister for Foreign Affairs with the request that Mr. Grew deliver the message personally to me. There is enclosed a reply to this message.

"The Department desires to be consulted by telegraph with regard to the question of the time when the reply should be delivered. Therefore, before arranging to call on the Minister for Foreign Affairs for the purpose of handing the reply to him for transmission to the Prime Minister, please

telegraph your opinion whether an opportune time for presentation of the reply has arrived, offering also such comments or suggestions as may occur to you with regard to the text of the proposed reply.

when you deliver the reply, the Department desires that you inform the Minister for Foreign Affairs that it is requested that the reply be regarded as strictly confidential and not for publication.

"Very truly yours."

Then, omitting again formal parts,

"Reply to Prime Minister.

"On his return to Washington Ambassador
Grew delivered to me personally the message which
Your Excellency was so good as to place in his hands
through the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs. I
have read with unusual interest the expressions of
Your Excellency's concern at the existence among the
nations of Europe of antagonism which may lead to
open conflict, and of Your Excellency's concern as to
what the consequences might be to millions of people
and to civilization should such antagonism lead to
an outbreak of war. In this situation Your Excellency
sees it as the duty of the Governments of our two
countries, owing to their situation 'outside the scope

of European conflict' to exert efforts to prevent the occurrence of the casualty envisaged.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I have carefully noted also the statement pertaining to the causes of strained relations in the European situation, the interest of my government in the preservation of peace, and finally the 'ardent wish of Japan' that the relations of nations might be so arranged that true world peace would be established and maintained.

"Your Excellency will have no doubt, in the light of the published utterances of the President and myself and of the principles we have advocated and supported, that the Government of the United States wholeheartedly desires to see established and maintained upon the basis of fair dealing and fair play between and among nations a condition of true world peace. With especial reference to the situation in Europe, Your Excellency will be apprised of the recent earnest efforts of this Government: the President's identic messages sent on September 26, 1938, to the heads of several European governments which had reached an alarming crisis in their relations; identic messages addressed on April 14, 1939, by the President and myself respectively to the Chancellor of the German Reich and to the Premier of Italy with regard to the

possible removal of the prevading threat or fear of a European war.

may also speak for the President, if there could be found ways for the use of your government's influence toward discouraging among European governments, especially those governments with which your government may have special relations, the taking of any action, or the pursuance of any policy, that might endanger the general peace. I am confident that any such contribution as this would constitute a high service to those great sections of humanity which live in fear of the devastation of war.

"In further reference to Your Excellency's expressed desire to see a true world peace established and maintained, I venture to observe, in a spirit of frankness which I trust will not be misunderstood, that this objective is made the more remote by the existence and the continuance of armed conflict and consequent political disturbances in the Far East 'oday. Just as the unfolding of events in the European sphere have their repercussions in the Far East, it appears, the prolongation of abnormal conditions in the Far East contribute to causes of unrest in Europe. American opinion is therefore perturbed

by the trend of events in the Far East, especially with regard to the methods of Japan in relations with China.

"If, therefore, it should prove impracticable or inexpedient to make effective contribution at once to the settlement of problems arising in the European area, there nevertheless would be urgent need for the exertion of efforts in connection with disturbed conditions in other geographical areas, especially by those nations which may unhappily now be engaged in armed conflict. It is my view that each peaceful settlement, in whatever geographical sphere, constitutes a stabilizing element and an important step toward improvement in the general world situation.

"Your Excellency may be assured of the genuine desire of the President and myself to do all within our power to convert into practical results those principles and hopes to which we have frequently given expression in connection with the foreign relations of the Government of the United States. While this government does not perceive any practicable steps which it might usefully take at this time in addition to those already taken, this government is sincerely interested in the suggestion contained

in Your Excellency's message, and in giving further consideration to that suggestion would be pleased to have such further information as Your Excellency may find it agreeable to offer by way of amplifying and making more definitive Your Excellency's concept as to the steps which might usefully be taken toward moderating the situation in Europe."

We now offer defense document No. 1500-C-7, which is also an official United States Government document, and is a telegram in line with the previous two documents offered. We do not desire to read it. Its purpose is to show that the reply from the President of the United States to the accused HIRANUMA was actually delivered.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1500-C-7 will receive exhibit No. 3224.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3224 and received in evidence.)

. 1

At this time, if the Tribunal please, we offer defense document 1500-D-7. This is an official United States Government document and is a letter directed to the Secretary of State of the United States from Eugene H. Dooman, then Charge d'Affaires ad interim in the Embassy in Tokyo. The subject is, "Japan's Foreign Policy in Relation to the Situation in Europe." This document outlines at length the policies, ideals and hopes of the accused Baron HIRANUMA at the time he was Prime Minister, and may be classified as one of the series of the three previous telegrams. This document is rather lengthy and we do not desire to read all of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

1500-D-7 will receive exhibit No. 3225.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3225 and received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN: We desire to read the first page and the first paragraph on the second page, omitting the last paragraph, the entire third, fourth, fifth and sixth pages. Then we will commence reading again with the last paragraph on page seven and read pages eight, nine and ten, and the first paragraph on

2 3

page eleven. We do not desire to read the last paragraph on page eleven, nor pages twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, nor the first paragraph on page sixteen. We do desire to read the last paragraph on page sixteen, all of pages seventeen and eighteen except the last paragraph. On page twenty, we should like to commence with the last paragraph and read through the balance of page twenty-one.

Omitting the purely formal parts: (Reading) "Sir:

"I had the honor in my telegram no. 245,
May 26, 8 p.m., to present an analysis of certain
trends in Japanese thought with regard to foreign
policy in relation to the situation now developing in
Europe. I observed that there were elements in Japan
-- not to be confused with certain congenial, but
withal ineffectual, persons educated abroad who have
been telling us that reaction to Japan's China policy
was 'just around the corner' -- who realized that, in
the event of a war in Europe, the maintenance by Japan
of neutrality between the democratic and totalitarian
camps would afford Japan little security, and that
national security could be assured in the long run only
by liquidating the conflict with China. I was not
unaware of the need for resting an observation of this

nature on a reasonably firm basis, and it is my purpose in this despatch to lay before the Department the circumstances, some of personal knowledge and the others which derive by deduction, on which my view was based. As there is no discussion in the press or in any other public forum of the question whether or not Japan should align itself with Germany and Italy -- indeed, any reference by the press to this question is officially interdicted -- information with regard to thought trends has come to me largely by word of mouth."

Commencing with the last paragraph on page seven:

"Whether by accident or by design, I was approached on the same day, May 18, by a Japanese hitherto unknown to me but who had made the acquaint-ance of the Ambassador as a fellow passenger during a voyage across the Pacific, with the suggestion that I have a 'chat,' as he put it, with the Prime Minister. I asked the gentleman to call again, as I wished to consider the matter. I informed the Ambassador of the call and of the suggestion that I have a talk with the Prime Minister. Mr. Grew said that he had formed a favorable impression of Mr. FUJII, the person who had called on me, and that he saw no reason why I

should not return a favorable reply. When Mr. FUJII called again, I said that I would be glad to have an opportunity to make the acquaintance of the Prime Minister, but that I had heard rumors of the possibility of a change of government and wondered, therefore, whether a call at that time would be opportune. Returning on the following day, Mr. FUJII brought an invitation from Baron HIRANUMA to dinner on May 23, when he intended to explain his purpose in sending through Mr. Grew his message to the Secretary, and word to the effect that no change in the government was impending. I accepted the invitation. Mr. FUJII then emphasized the importance of keeping a profound secret the forthcoming talk. He said that Baron HIRANUMA's political position was reasonably secure, but that the alignment of factions within the Government over European policy was so delicate as to require that the Prime Minister act very cautiously.

"Mr. FUJII called for me on the evening of
May 23. As we went in my car, which bears an Embassy
license tag, Mr. FUJII proposed that we alight some
distance from the Prime Minister's private residence
and go the rest of the way on foot; we did. At the
gate of the residence there stood a number of policemen, who, although obviously surprised by seeing a

23 24

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

6

3

4

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

foreigner, made no attempt to stop me. The servants were prepared for my visit, but I have reason to believe that they, as well as two female relatives of the Prime Minister's who served us later at dinner, had no knowledge whatever of my identity. It might be added that the residence, which is situated in the suburbs, is small and quite unpretentious and would barely grace a small tradesman. These details, while inconsequential, may perhaps reflect my impressions at the moment.

"Baron HIRANUMA received me very courteously. He said that he unfortunately had few opportunities to meet foreigners and thus to receive at first hand the impression of foreigners with regard to conditions in various parts of the world. The situation in Europe was, in his view, a delicate one, and he felt confident that he could obtain from an American a more objective appraisal of that situation than he would be likely to procure from any European.

"I expressed regret that I was not in a position to give him any information other than that which was public knowledge. There then ensued a colloguy on the situation in Europe, during which Baron HIRANUMA displayed knowledge not only of a factual nature but of political trends in Europe which

```
1 surprised me. One of the points brought out which,
 in his view, made for danger in Europe, was that
  Chancellor Hitler -- with the objectives which he
  has in mind for Germany to achieve -- provides an
  issue around which all elements in Great Britain can
  rally; the imperialists, who do not propose to
  tolerate a Germany which can pretend to equality
  with Great Britain; the industrialists, who fear
   German commercial competition; and, finally, the
   Jews, radicals and even the Germanophiles, who vie
10
   with each other in their hatred of Hitler."
11
            THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-
12
13
   past one.
                  (Whereupon, at 1200, an adjourn-
14
15
        ment was taken.)
                         ansar ever though it wight but the
16
17
18
19
               its primited a continuition by bringing to-
20
21
    groups of metions. Tuests were, he bookinged, element
22
23
24
25
```

1 2 e n 3 r g 5 & 6 B 7 a rt 8 0 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1330.

AFTRINOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

thucefore's

MARCHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, I was reading om page 10, the middle of the page:

"Baron HIRANUMA then said that the possibility of a war arising in Europe was one which he contemplated with horror. It would inevitably result in the total destruction of civilization, as no nation, however remote from the seat of war, would hope to escape the eventual consequences even though it might be fortunate to avoid direct involvement. He had publicly stated on several occasions that Japan could never be a democracy or a totalitarian state, and that Japan could make its greatest contribution by bringing together in harmonious and peaceful relations the two groups of nations. There were, he continued, elements in Japan which considered that Japan could not afford to maintrin a condition of isolation and that her security demanded that she enter into 'special relations' with Germany and Italy. He was insisting, however,

that Japan follow what he termed 'moral diplomacy.' A nation's existence was not to be measured by decades, and it was essential, therefore, that statesmen charged with the destinies of nationals fix their attention on long term objective rather than on gaining favorable táctical positions, which were after all, ephemeral. The most important of these objectives was a stablized peace to replace interludes of preparation for the next war. Japan, like the United States, was not directly involved in the troubles of Europe; and it was his thought that these two nations, which were the only Great Powers situated outside of Europe, were in a position to exercise a rederating influence on Europe. To exercise that influence was a duty which they owed their own peoples, for the downfall of Europe would inevitably bring with it the downfall of the rest of the world. In his opinion, the first step which had to be taken was to check the tendency toward the division of Europe into two political hostile camps. He wondered whether the views of the American Government were responsive to those which he had expressed in his message to the Secretary." on more intervented by solite

that direct was expent. The conversation suring disput

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Beginning again in the middle of page 16: "Baron HIRANUMA said that the belief was widely held abroad that Japan was considering a military alliance with Germany and Italy. He had endeavored to explain frankly the basis of Japanese sympathy for Germany and Italy, and he could say quite definitely that the basis of what appeared to be a concerting of Japanese policy with that of Germany and Italy lay in the fact that all three countries are in the same economic strategic position. He personally was of the opinion that Japan, whose government would for all time to come rest on the sanctity of the Imperial Family, could not tie itself by special relations to any foreign government whose stability depended on the continued existence and political prestige of one individual. There were both in Germany and Italy political currents flowing beneath the surface which, in his view, would gravely prejudice confidence in any political arrangement, such as an alliance, which Japan might make with those countries. Hidden dissident elements would be certain to make themselves felt in time of war and thus are to be reckoned as a threat to the success of German and Italian arms.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"At this point we were interrupted by notice that dinner was served. The conversation during dinner

was not in any way pertinent to the subject of this despatch, being confined to discussions of points in Chinese philosophy, personal reminiscences, and so on, the Prime Minister discoursing in a most interesting manner.

"Returning to his study, the Prime Einister said that he wished to draw together the various threads of our conversations, as follows:

"The United States and Japan were the only powers which could help to prevent the crystallization of the trend toward the division of Europe into armed camps. There can, however, be no confident hope that a permanent peace can be established until the worldwide economic and political conditions which bring about unrest in Europe and in the Far East can be corrected; and if an international conference can be called to solve the problems which create unrest, Japan would be prepared to agree to the inclusion of the Far Eastern situation among the problems to be discussed. Before any call for such a conference could be issued, Great Britain and France, and Germany and Italy, would have to be sounded out. If the President were prepared to make a confidential approach to the European democracies he would be glad to approach Germany and Italy; and if there were re-

25

-3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

turned favorable replies by these nations, he would be glad to have the President call the conference under such conditions as might be agreed upon after discussion through normal diplomatic channels.

"I wish to make it clear, before setting forth certain conclusions which I drew from these conversations, that I do not put forward the views of those Japanese -- even though they be persons of great importance and influence -- who harbor hopes of restoring good relations with the democratic nations, as being necessarily the views of the Japanese Government. These are, however, the views of a powerful element in the Government; they may prevail or they may not, but they cannot be ignored."

And beginning at the bottom of page 20:

"In concluding this despatch, which is already unconsciously long, I wish briefly to allude to the discrepancy between the attitude of the Prime Minister as indicated in his conversation with me and that of the Minister for Foreign Affairs, whose preoccupation over the Anglo-Soviet negotiations has already been mentioned. It is obvious that the desire of the Prime Minister for American collaboration to bring about peace in Europe (and in the Far East) cannot be reconciled with the readiness, which is almost an eagerness, on the

. 1

part of the Foreign Minister to meet a fancied threat from Great Britian. These two points of view reflect conflicting policies, and which of these policies will prevail may perhaps be decided by events of the next few days."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

much as the document has not been read in its entirety, I wish to direct the Tribunal's attention to the first paragraph of page 5 beginning with the words "Mr. and Mrs. ARITA," and also the paragraph on page 7 beginning with the words "The impressions."

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, at this time we offer in evidence defense document No. 2420 which is an affidavit of Major General Francis Stewart Gilderoy Piggott, C.B., D.S.O., Colonel Commandant, Royal Engineers of the British Army. The original is on file with the clerk. This document relates to the Tientsin crises, in which the General explains his position and that of Baron HIRANUMA. The document definitely shows that Baron HIRANUMA averted serious trouble between Japan and England by his action with reference to the Tientsin Incident.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

MR. ENGLISH: The prosecution objects to the following portions of the affidavit because they are statements of opinion, conclusions, and they forswear the issue: the last paragraph on page 1, continuing on page 2, beginning with the words "The reason"; the first paragraph on page 2, beginning with the words "The Baron felt"; the last sentence on page 2, beginning with the words "The major credit"; all of page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you say the whole of the first paragraph on page 2 commencing with the words "The Baron felt"?

> MR. ENGLISH: Yes, your Honor. THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Well, your Honor, in securing an affidavit of this type, it is obvious that certain personal opinions of the person who made the affidavit would creep in. Now, this is not the impression of the prosecution in some instances. But, if the Tribunal will permit this into evidence -- I ask the Tribunal, it being composed of legal men, that if there are those portions which obviously fall within the scope of the prosecution's objection that they be disregarded.

THE PRESIDENT: But he has specified the

MR. ENGLISH: The prosecution objects to the following portions of the affidavit because they are statements of opinion, conclusions, and they forswear the issue: the last paragraph on page 1, continuing on page 2, beginning with the words "The reason"; the first paragraph on page 2, beginning with the words "The Baron felt"; the last sentence on page 2, beginning with the words "The major credit"; all of page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you say the whole of the first paragraph on page 2 commencing with the words "The Baron felt"?

MR. ENGLISH: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Well, your Honor, in securing an affidavit of this type, it is obvious that certain personal opinions of the person who made the affidavit would creep in. Now, this is not the impression of the prosecution in some instances. But, if the Tribunal will permit this into evidence — I ask the Tribunal, it being composed of legal men, that if there are those portions which obviously fall within the scope of the prosecution's objection that they be disregarded.

THE PRESIDENT: But he has specified the

portions he objects to, and we must give a decision on those, Colonel Warren.

IM. WARREN: Very well, your Honor. I would say then in reference to the last paragraph on page 1 that --

THE PRESIDENT: We have not given our de-

MR. WARREN: Oh, I see. I thought you wanted me to argue it, your Honor, which I am prepared to do.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Proceed to argue,

Colonel.

Jection, commencing on the last paragraph of page 1, it appears obvious that the General is relating conversations he had had with HIRANUMA, and he is relating incidents which he knows from his own personal contact with the principals involved.

on page 2, the Baron felt at a conference -- that is not a conclusion of the witness, as I interpret the affidavit, but he is relating what he knows of his own knowledge because he was a principal in the entire transaction. Unquestionably, he is relating the reasons which the Baron had given him when having the conference in Tokyo.

The next objection with reference to the sentence beginning "The major credit for this happy outcome" was referred to the Prime Minister himself, I expect, your Honor, that that is a conclusion of the witness and, perhaps, well founded but, nonethe-

The objection to the following paragraph, starting with the words "Before" and "We spoke in Japanese," is obviously immaterial, and we do not raise the question.

less, a conclusion.

Now, as to the last paragraph, as to whether or not that is material or a conclusion of the witness depends, in my opinion, upon the opinion of the person reading the affidavit. My interpretation is that General Piggott, being involved in this and knowing the seriousness of it, could draw an accurate conclusion. He was certainly an expert; and, if it is opinion evidence, this is certainly expert opinion evidence on the matter, or it can be construed as a policy statement of fact.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objections are sustained and the document admitted on the usual terms but only to the extent not objected to.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2420 will receive exhibit No. 3226.

.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3226 and received in evidence.)

IR. WARREN: Your Honor, in reading this
I am going to try to follow the ruling as much as
I can; and, if I do happen to read something else
that is covered by your ruling, I would appreciate
it if you would call it to my attention.

THE PRESIDENT: I will tell you where to stop.

MR. WARREN: Yes, sir. I won't read the first paragraph; it is merely formal. (Reading)

"In June of that year, when the 'Tientsin crisis' was at its height, I was approached by a confidential agent of the Baron (then Prime Minister) at the instance of Mr. Dooman, American Charge d' Affaires, to convey certain suggestions from Baron HIRANUMA to the British Ambassador, having as their object the peaceful settlement of the Tientsin problem, and consequently many other outstanding difficulties. The name of the agent was Mr. Minoru FUJII, a retired Foreign Office official who had been Consul-General in Singapore during the 1914-18 War. (We spoke English and Japanese alternately)"

THE PRESIDENT: Stop there. Now go over to

the paragraph on the next page, commencing "It was my privilege." It is the second completed paragraph. Omit the last sentence of that paragraph. Read between "It was my privilege" down to "a conference in Tokyo."

MR. WARREN: (Reading) "It was my privilege to conduct the negotiations between the British Ambassador and the Prime Minister, through the abovenamed intermediary, successfully, and Mr. ARITA informed Sir Robert Craigie on the evening of June 23 that the Japanese Government were ready to have a conference in Tokyo."

THE PRESIDENT: That is the whole of the document, as I understand the objections.

MR. WARREN: I want to take exception to weeding out the portions — I mean, your Honor, I wish to attempt at a later time, — and I think I can, to secure an affidavit from General Piggott on these very matters, and they will then, I am certain, be factual; and I would like to reserve the right to offer it at a later time.

MR. ENGLISH: Of course, your Honor, we object to that request.

THE PRESIDENT: He will hardly get it in time. Of course, we understand he is handicapped in

not being able to interview General Piggott personally. But we have a note of the request and the objection. Now you can proceed, Colonel.

MR. WARREN: At this time, if the Tribunal please, we offer in evidence defense document No. 2647 --

THE PRESIDENT: 2467.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARREN: 2467, I am sorry. This is an affidavit of Joseph C. Crew, former Ambassador from the United States to Japan. This affidavit is offered as expert opinion evidence concerning the accused HIRANUMA and others, and the opinion expressed by Ambassador Grew, as shown by the affidavit, is from his own knowledge based upon his official and personal contacts. His opinion, according to the witness, is reflected in his official reports to the Department of Ftate. We do not believe any higher authority than Mr. Grew could be obtained. We realize that the Tribunal has ruled on so-called character evidence, but we wish to point out that this is not character evidence but is opinion evidence based upon actual knowledge by a man who was trained in the field of international politics and upon whose opinion the United States as a nation had to depend.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

MR. ENGLISH: The prosecution objects to the entire affidavit because it merely gives the opinions and conclusions of Mr. Grew and attempts to forswear the issue.

on much less material than has been placed before us. That is the danger in accepting others' opinions. To accept opinion of another is to concede that he has more material than you have to guide him.

Reichers & Yelden

MR. ENGLISH: Of course, I will draw the Tribuna's attention to the fact that previously this Tribunal said that even if Mr. Grew were here it would not accept his opinions or his conclusions and it is our submission that this Tribunal now has more information regarding these matters than Mr. Grew had at the time he gathered together his material and formed his opinions. The Japanese Government did not at the time intend that Mr. Grew have all the facts the Tribunal now has.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

colonel Warren: Your Honor, I should like to point out that in the presentation of the prosecution's case opinion evidence was accepted on men who were much less informed, and the Court can take judicial kir ledge of it, than Mr. Grew.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not so. We have consistently rejected opinion evidence of this kind.

'MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I am quite certain that I can get the record and bring in that witness' name at a later time. He was a newspaper man. I am not certain whether it was Goette or Mr. Powell but I am quite sure it was one of the two of them. However I will drop that and follow further.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection

is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. WARREN: May I complete my argument,

Your Honor, I have another point. I wish to point out very important material for my appeal in this matter.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear whatever further argument you have to offer. We had assumed you had finished -- at least I had.

MR. WARREN: I am sure you had, your Honor. This is short and I have it: Article 13(a) of General Orders No. 20 is so worded that it makes it mandatory upon the Tribunal to admit any evidence which it deems to have probative value, regardless of the technical rules of evidence or procedure. The wording is that the Tribunal "shall admit any evidence which it deems to have probative value." Regardless of any other objection raised by the prosecution, we submit that the affidavit of Mr. Grew should be admitted. To assert that Mr. Grew's opinion has no probative value is to cast a reflection upon the value of the diplomatic services of the various nations. The Government of the United States, and in some instale's England and other nations, had to and did depend upon the opinion of the very man whose affidavit

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

16

18

20

21

23

24

we now offer, and they had to depend upon and did accept the opinion of Mr. Grew concerning the motives of these very men who now are in the prisoner's dock.

The prosecution by their objection, whether they state it or not, deny in effect that Mr. Grew's affidavit is of probative value. Such a position is an insult to the intelligence of the American people inasmuch as it admits of no other interpretation than that the Government--

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren, you cannot be allowed to proceed in that way. The United States Government is prosecuting HIRANUMA. That is what the United States Government and people think of HIRANUMA's case, but we decide independently of their view. We decide on the evidence we think is admissible as having probative value and we are not going to permit you to make an attack on the Court.

The objection is allowed and the document rejected.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor --

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot hear you further.

We will have to refuse to hear you at all if you persist in this conduct.

MR. WARREN: I have a personal matter. I insist, sir, that my motives have been impugned and I

7

4

×

10

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

24

have a right to answer this Tribunal on those. I want to do it. I would like to state, sir, that I stated that it was the prosecution's assertion. I made no mention of this Tribunal, sir; none whatsoever.

I should like to state further that if the United States Government is paying for a part of the prosecution, it is also paying for a part of the defense.

I do not care to go into the matter further, sir.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, regardless of the rejection of the document at this time I wish to reserve the right to submit it in presenting the defense of the defendant SHIGEMITSU. Part of it deals with the pure question of fact, the receipt of a letter, the contents of a letter.

THE PRESIDENT: That has not escaped our attention, Major Furness. That may be tendered in SHIGEMITSU's case by you if you think fit.

MR. FURNESS: Thank you, your Honor. I may submit this affidavit or I may, if I have time, try to get one in substitution for it.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Yamaoka.

MR. YAMAOKA: If it please the Tribunal, I intend to appear on behalf of the accused HIROTA and

19

3

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

1 13

21

23

24

I should also desire to make a reservation concerning this affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Yes, sir. May we at this time call to the witness stand the witness SAITO?

YOSHIE SAITO, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been previously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former oath.

MR. WARREN: May the witness be shown defense document 2558?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WARREN:

Q Will you tell the Court whether or not the paper which you hold in your hand is your affidavit in this case?

- A This is my affidavit.
- Q Are the contents thereof true?
- A Yes.

MR. WARREN: We now offer defense document
No. 2558 in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

9

11

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

21

22

24

MR. WARREN: We will not offer that portion,

your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: With that part omitted the document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2558 will receive exhibit No. 3227.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3227 and received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN (Reading): "I, SAITO, Yoshie, of lawful age, being first duly sworn in accordance with the usages and customs in my country, depose and state as follows:

"I was born in 1880 and now live in Shoto,
Shibuya-ku, Tokyo. I attended the Tokyo Imperial
University and after graduation, entered the service
of the Foreign Office. I have been stationed as
diplomatic and consular attache in Peking and Tientsin,
China; was at one time Secretary of the Embassy in
Washington, D.C., under Ambassador SHIDEHARA. Later
I became Director of the Bureau of Commercial Affairs
of the Foreign Office, which position I resigned in
1926 to accept a position as a director of the South

2

3

6

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Manchurian Railway Company, Ltd.

"In July, 1940, upon the formation of the KONOYE Cabinet, by request of Foreign Minister MATSUOKA, I became an advisor to the Foreign Office and held that position until I resigned in July, 1941. In May, 1941, I attended a cabinet meeting with Premier KONOYE and Foreign Minister MATSUOKA. Other cabinet ministers attended. At this meeting the question of Japanese-American negotiations, which were then in progress, was discussed. On this occasion I distinctly recall a speech made by the accused HIRANUMA, Kiichiro, who was the then Home Minister. He stated, and the theme of his speech was, that Japan must not fight for any reason whatsoever. He gave as his reasons that if a war were started between big powers, it was highly probable, and almost inevitable, that it would develop into a world-wide conflagration. Once started, it was evident that such hostilities would become a protracted war and no one could foretell the damage and devastation which would be wrought b; the destructive forces of new weapons and scientific devices and appliances which had been and were then being developed. Undoubtedly untold damage would accrue to the industries of all nations of the world and the human race would be thrown into misery. He

25

24

6

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

21

also stated concerning the then present economic conditions of the country, that he firmly believed Japan would not be able to withstand or face a protracted war. He spoke generally along these lines for approximately thirty minutes in an impassioned plea against war. I remember this speech of HIRANUMA's particularly well because he was usually a silent and reticent man, and it was most unusual for him to speak at such great length."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

MR. ENGLISH: There will be no cross-

examination.

MR. WARREN: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual terms.

> (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. WARREN: May we call the witness MURATA,

20 Goro?

> Your Honor, you will find 2595 is ahead of 2424. This witness is brought here for the purpose of identifying the document 2424 and they will be taken together, sir.

25

26

GORO MURATA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interprecers as follows:

MR. WARREN: May the witness be handed defense document 2595 and defense document 2424?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WARREN:

Q Will you examine document 2595 and tell the Court whether or not that is your affidavit?

A This is mine.

Q And are the contents true?

A Yes.

Q Now will you examine defense document No. 2424 and tell the Court, if you know, what that document is? Just answer that question, if you will, yes or no.

A I know this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

MR. WARREN: At this time, your Honor, before asking him anything further about the document or contents, I would like to ask a few more qualifying questions. I find this affidavit is probably not sufficient.

THE PRESIDENT: Tender the affidavit and we will hear Mr. English's objection. I assume he

is going to make one.

4

3

1

6

7

6

10

11

13

12

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

MR. WARREN: I offer at this time defense document 2595.

MR. ENGLISH: We object to this affidavit
because it is an attempt to certify as to the source
or authenticity of a document by merely stating that
it was prepared in a certain place, -- here it happens
to be a Section of the Public Peace of the Police Bureau
of the Home Ministry. It does not state that it is
part of the records of that office, or that it was
prepared by anyone in authority in the office.

As to the document referred to in the affidavit, the prosecution will object to that document when it is tendered.

MR. WARREN: Three questions, your Honor, as I have indicated, will probably clear up every objection he has made.

Q Now, will you look at that document which you have and which I refer to as defense document 2424, and tell the Court when that document was prepared?

MR. ENGLISH: We object to that question, your Honor. We think that it should have been stated in the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English, this appears -- to me, at all events, I haven't consulted my colleagues--

22 23

as being a very trifling objection. It is not the type of objection we usually get here.

By cross-examination you may be able to prove that this document should be regarded as not being authentic.

The objection is overruled and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2595 will receive exhibit No. 3228.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3228 and received in evidence.)

Q I refer the witness again to document 2424.
Will you tell the Court whether or not that is an official Japanese Government document, and if so, in what category it fits?

A This is an official document of the Home Office. This document relates to the incident of the attempted shooting of Baron HIRANUMA, and this report was prepared between the 20th and 25th of August. Following the drawing up of this document it was circulated to all the chiefs of police throughout the country.

MR. WARREN: Now at this time, your Honor, we desire to offer in evidence defense document 2424,

which has been identified by this witness. We do not desire to read very much of this document. We offer---

THE PRESIDENT: I understand it is to be...

objected to. Manual is omitted with somephracy to

MR. WARREN: I do too. I want to finish my presentation without--- briefly, the document refers to the attempted assassination of the accused HIRANUMA and states the reasons given by the attacker.

MR. ENGLISH: We object to the receipt of this document because it is an attempt to prove that someone tried to assassinate one of the accused. This type of testimony has already been excluded by the Tribunal by a ruling in the ARAKI case, and the document itself is not dated, incomplete, does not show on its face where it came from, whether it is an official document or not, and it is merely conclusions and opinions, and therefore should be rejected.

WARREN: Your Honor -- which wa can produce

THE PRESIDENT: It merely proves, or attempts
to prove what was thought of HIRANUMA by a would-be
assassin -- an obsessed assassin, as one of my
colleagues terms it. What probative value could that
have, Colonel?

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, this document relates, among other things, that the person who was accused of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the assassination -- of the attempted assassination, was a member of a rather sizeable organization -apparently it was a rather sizeable organization. The accused HIRANUMA is charged with conspiracy to wage war -- aggressive war against the United States and Great Britain, and with waging war. Now, we have an attempted assassination by his own people because they assert that he was pro-British and pro-American. I certainly think that has probative value. Of course, the Court may not agree with me, but that is the reason we are offering it. I might state this: That there are other documents which we are attempting to secure which undoubtedly are better evidence than this, but we have been unable to do so. The Tribunal granted us a subpoena for those, but as SCAP has been unables to locate -- at least, the persons we have talked with were unable to locate the documents we need -- consequently, this is our best evidence which we can produce at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the objection is sustained and the document rejected.

MR. WARREN: On this point I should like to make a reservation and attempt to bring in this additonal evidence at a later time.

May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. WARREN: May the witness SHIOTA be called? THE REAL SEPTEMBERS AND ASSESSED OF THE PARTY OF THE PART opening smark to different decimality and topical state your rains one scorers at the THE TURN - GIRE Y - PLANTS HEREIN OF THE STREET and arrest walk to the a little of participation of the second places of the second SERVICE OF THE CAPACIA SERVER. THE PROPERTY OF STREET AND THE PROPERTY AT MAKE Angester, when witer the this accompanies at the a spread And the second of the transfer that the second of the seco

Duda & Spratt

50

2

3

6

7

8

9

to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIROSHIGE SHIOTA, called as a witness
on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WARREN:

Q May the witness be given defense document 2557 and the errata sheet to defense document 2557.

'ill you state your name and address to the Tribunal, please.

A My name is SHIOTA, Hiroshige; my address, No. 10 Yumi-cho, 1-chome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo.

Q Will you look at defense document 2557 and the errata sheet which has been presented to you and tell the Court if that is your affidavit and if it is true as corrected by the errata sheet.

A This is my affidavit.

MR. WARREN: We now offer in evidence defense document No. 2557.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. English.

MR. ENGLISH: We object to the receipt of this document, your Honor, for the reason that it is a narration of the doctor who treated HIRANUMA and has no probative value, and is covered by the ruling on the previous document.

MR. WARREN: It is not offered for that purpose

at all, your Honor. It is not covered by the previous ruling. This document is offered to show that for a period of time following this attempted assassination, regardless of why the assassination was made, that the accused HIRANUMA could not have been doing, because of his physical condition, the things that the prosecution accused him of. It states the seriousness of his injuries. This is the doctor who attended him and who states in his affidavit his condition and when he first let him out.

THE PRESIDENT: What particular charge in the indictment do you suggest it is relative to, having regard ' its date, August 1941?

Imperial Conference is September 1941. Then, of course, they charge him broadly, that he was continuing in the conspiracy at that time; and liaison conferences, which there seems to be evidence he attended, which he didn't during that period.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if he is charged with having attended the liaison conference during that period and there is evidence to that effect on the part of the prosecution, this may be an answer to that, at all events. But we suspect that the affidavit was not prepared to meet such evidence; it is so detailed.

. 1

8 9

MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, the prosecution will 2 concede that the accused HIRANUMA was incapacitated from 3 attending to official duties from 14 August 1941 to the 476th of November, 1941. THE PRESIDENT: Well, that admission is as strong, 6at least, as the affidavit on that matter. MR. WARREN: That is right, sir, except that they say the 26th instead of the 29th. I might be mistaken 9 about the date, but that is quite all right, and we will 10 not offer it. THE PRESIDENT: You withdraw the affidavit? MR. WARREN: Of course, your Honor. 12 May the witness be excused on the usual terms? 13 THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. 14 15 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 16 17 18 19 20

23

21

22

MR. WARREN: May the witness OKADA, Keisuke, be called. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, the witness is in court and will now be sworn. THE PRESIDENT: Has this witness given evi-dence before, Colonel? MR. WARREN: Yes, I think he has. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: Mr. President, I am in error. The witness has previously testified before this Tribunal. MR. WARREN: Yes, he testified for the prose-cution. THE PRESIDENT: He is Admiral OKADA, former Prime Minister? MR. WARREN: Yes, sir.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

KEISUKE OKADA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having been previously sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former nath.

MR. WARREN: May the witness be handed defense document No. 2535.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WARREN:

Q Is that document which you hold in your hands your affidavit, and are the contents true?

A Yes.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, we now offer in evidence defense document No. 2535.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, objection is made to this portion of the document, the language of the affidavit, appearing near the middle of page 7, beginning with the words "From these many discussions" through the words "On the Contrary" in the second line from the bottom of the page, with the exception of the statement, "SUZUKI had served the Emperor as his Lord Chamberlain for a great length of time."

The ground of that objection is that the

23

24

statements are expressions of opinions and conclusions of the witness.

Objection is made to the language appearing on page 8 of the affidavit beginning with the words "His reasons" and extending through the second line on page 9.

The ground of the objection is that the statements are expressions of opinions and conclusions of the witness, as the previous objection.

Objection is made to successive sentences in the indicated part of the affidavit beginning with the words "HIRANUMA knew"; "He knew"; "He also realized"; "He further realized"; "He knew"; "He also realized"; "The views of the allied statesmen."

Objection is made to the last paragraph in the affidavit dealing with the alleged attempted assassination on August 15, 1945. Objection is based upon two grounds. First, the only purpose for the introduction of such evidence is to elicit opinions and conclusions regarding the views of the accused. The would-be assailants would not be permitted to testify directly on such matters, and it is submitted for the same reason this evidence should be rejected.

There are other parts of the affidavit,
Mr. President, we think are objectionable where the

views of the accused could be more directly presented, but we will not press them.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear you after the recess, Colonel.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East 1s now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, with reference to the objection made by the prosecution to the last paragraph on page 9, I am agreed with the prosecution that that paragraph may be stricken and I will not offer it.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the last paragraph.

MR. WARREN: Just the last paragraph.

However, with reference to the rest of the objections, this witness is here testifying from his own personal knowledge. The affidavit shows that throughout all this period of time he was intimate with the accused HIRANUMA and by his mere association he would be bound to know the feelings of the accused HIRANUMA because they acted in concert.

Lefler & Wol

With reference to the statement that the accused HIRANUMA knew in common with statesmen of other nations that the Emperor might -- should be retained in some respect, I should like to point out to the Tribunal that that is such a matter of common knowledge that the Tribunal could take judicial notice of it, that these statesmen did hold that opinion.

We feel the credibility of the witness could be attacked on cross-examination much better than attempting to make little deletions here and there as has been suggested.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objections are sustained and the document admitted on the usual terms as to the extent of the unobjected parts, the parts not objected to.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2535 will receive exhibit No. 3229.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3229 and received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, will you be kind enough to assist me and stop me on those objections? I went over the with the prosecutor and I marked them. I am not certain they are correct, though.

"I, Keisuke, OKADA, of lawful age, being first

12

6

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

3

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

duly swo. in accordance with the customs of my country, depose and state:

"I was born in Fukui Prefecture, Japan, in 1868. I graduated from the Naval Academy in 1889, became an Admiral in 1924 and remained in the Japanese Navy until 1933. I was appointed as Prime Minister of Japan in July of the following year, 1934.

"In February, 1936, following the so-called 'February 26th Incident', I resigned my post as Prime Minister. From some time in 1940 up until the acceptance of the Potsdam Declaration by Japan I attended many meetings of the 'Jushin' or Elder Statesmen. I was present at the meeting of the Elder Statesmen which was held on 29 November 1941. This meeting, instead of being called in the usual manner by the Lord Chamberlain at the. request of the Emperor, was convened upon the request of the Premier and the Imperial Palace was designated as the place of assembly. There were two sessions, one in the forenoon and one in the afternoon. At the morning session we heard the Premier and Cabinet Ministers speak upon the then current diplomatic and political situation. It was explained to us that the negotiations between Japan and the United States had reached an impasse and while the speakers did not say so in so many words, it was evident that the Government

felt war between the nations was inevitable; that the situation which had developed and which was developing would make war unavoidable. The Premier did not state that the Government had decided at that time to go to war and the members of the government did not attempt to persuade us in support of war. However, each Elder Statesman asked questions of the Minister who were present. To the questions they replied that the position of the Government would be well understood if the factual grounds were shown and if they could disclose and cite figures which they had, but which they stated they could not disclose since they were State secrets. None of the Elder Statesmen supported or encouraged war, especially WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA and I. Without any previous consultation or deliberation we urged the Government to reconsider the matter very carefully and to proceed with extreme caution in any matter which might bring a about hostilities. We all expressed negative opinions. "After the morning session we were given a

"After the morning session we were given a luncheon by the Emperor and after we had finished our meal, each of us submitted our dissuading opinion to the Throne.

"It may have been, although I do not exactly recall, that one of the Elder Statesmen present made

4

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

to rest in the hands of the Government. It was called to my attention that this statement was alleged to have been made. I do not recall who the person was making such a statement, if it were made, but I do know it was not HIRANUMA. Inasmuch as we were not told that the Government had decided upon war, and as we were not informed of the grounds which were based upon the figures which the members of the Government told us were being treated as State secrets, we had no opportunity to go into the matter deeply. Because of lack of exact information, which was withheld from us for the reason mentioned, we had to confine ourselves to the expression of negative and dissuading opinions.

Premier that Japan would be strangled gradually and slowly, I warned that the country must not be driven to a sudden crash, which, in my opinion, would be far worse than the slow strangulation which the Premier feared. This opinion was concurred in completely by Baron HIRANUMA. The opinions submitted by the Elder Statesmen to the Throne at the noonday luncheon were but a reiteration of the views expressed in the morning session ') the Premier and Cabinet Ministers. The great majority of us, including Baron HIRANUMA, were

not satisfied with the explanations given by the Governmental authorities and having deep concern for the future welfare of the nation, we stated that the Government must handle the matter with utmost caution. Although our presence before the Throne was for a comparatively short time, we spent the entire afternoon until dusk with the Government officials. However. their attitude did not change and our attitude did not waver in the least, and we parted with absolutely no premonition that within less than ten days the attack on Pearl Harbor would have been made. The Elder Statesmen who attended this conference were WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA, YONAI, HIROTA, HAYASHI, ABE and myself. The then Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, Marquis KIDO, was present at the luncheon given by the Emperor and the talk which followed immediately, but did not attend either session of the conference.

"After the outbreak of the war, and in its early stages, Japan met with many victories. However, as the war progressed the situation changed and the conditions for Japan went from bad to worse until in 1943 WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA and I, who had always been opposed to the idea of war, agreed among ourselves that this war must be brought to an end by whatever means possible. With this objective in mind, we four

3

1

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

16

18

19 20

21

22

24

met frequently. In the beginning the houses of Prince KONOYE in Mejiro and Ogikubo were used as meeting places, but this became too dangerous because of increasing air raids, and we rented a room in the Dai Ichi Sogo Building, now occupied by the Supreme Command, Allied Powers. HIRANUMA or I acted as Secretary of the group. We resolved among ourselves that the first step necessary was to introduce into the Cabinet a man who was opposed to war. We selected Admiral YONAI as the most likely candidate, and endeatored to secure an appointment for him. We went to great lengths to create occasions when we could meet and talk with the Premier in order to accomplish our end, but we were eventually unsuccessful in getting Admiral YONAI into the Cabinet. It is true that a position was offered to him without portfolio, but this could not have accomplished our ends, and we sought to have him appointed as Navy Minister, but to this proposition, as previously stated, the government would not agree.

"Later it became apparent that there was unrest and dissatisfaction with the Cabinet in various circles. This dissatisfaction was expressed in various quarters of the country. We became imbued with the idea that the Cabinet could not cope with the situation and on

4

7

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

24

3

5 1 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

July 17, 1944, WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA and I met at the home of HIRANUMA to discuss the situation. We were later joined by other Elder Statesmen who took part in the discussion. As a result of this discussion we arrived at a conclusion which we put into writing, as follows:

"In order to find our way through the current difficult situation, it is necessary to renew the popular mind. All people must rely and cooperate to build a powerful national Cabinet, which will surge forward unswervingly. A partial reorganization of the Cabinet will not be of any use.

"This resolution was passed because it was apparent that the Cabinet had lost its popular appeal and we were of the opinion that it was necessary to retire the Cabinet in order to bring the war to an end. I handed this resolution personally to the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, Marquis KIDO, at his official residence, and reported to him what had happened in the meeting. The following day, July 18th, the Cabinet resigned en bloc and on the same day an Elder Statesman's meeting was convened for the purpose of recommending a head of the succeeding Government. At this meeting HIRANUMA was present and he pointed out that the Army had lost the peoples! support and that further military interference with various spheres of national life was not advisable. Prince KONOYE suggested SUZUKI, Kantaro, as the head of the succeeding Cabinet, and HIRANUMA supported his recommendation strongly. He praised SUZUKI's personality and stated he felt him eminently fit to hold the position. Eventually however, General KOISO was recommended.

22

23

21

"After the meeting WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA and I conferred and KONOYE went to the homes of all the remaining Elder Statesmen to get their agreement, and

to make arrangements so that the Emperor's order for

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

the formation of the new Cabinet would be given to KOISO and YONAI jointly, appointing YONAI to the Navy Ministry. Such an Imperial Order was without precedent but Prince KONOYE succeeded in his task. This arrangement of having YONAI appointed to the Navy Ministry was in order to insure a man in the Cabinet who was opposed to war. At the Elder Statesmen's meeting which was convened on April 5, 1945, at the time the KOISO Cabinet resigned, HIRANUMA succeeded this time in recommending SUZUKI, Kantaro, as the next Prime Minister. Inasmuch as SUZUKI was a relative of mine, I refrained from taking any part in the matter, but did undertake the task of persuading him to give his consent to the acceptance of the post. HIRANUMA had long been in favor of SUZUKI and in those days, as I previously stated, the four of us, i.e., WAKATSUKI, KONOYE, HIRANUMA and myself, met frequently to discuss measures which should be taken because of the seriousness of the situation. From these many discussions I --"

> THE PRESIDENT: That is out.

MR. WARREN: That is out.

THE PRESIDENT: "SUZUKI had served the

Emperor --"

MR. WARREN: "SUZUKI had served the Emperor

20 21

22

23 24

. 1

as his Lord Chamberlain for a great length of time --"

THE PRESIDENT: And, he cannot say, "and knew his wishes and thoughts."

MR. WARREN: Yes, sir.

"HIRANUMA felt --"

THE PRESIDENT: No. We are not allowing this

THE PRESIDENT: No. We are not allowing this witness to tell us what HIRANUMA thought or felt.

IR. WARREN: I am trying to find it here.

THE PRESIDENT: Go down to, "On the contrary."

MR. WARREN: "On the contrary, he stated in substance that the country needed a man --"

Your Honor, to knock that out entirely changes the whole content of this man's entire testimony, I'm afraid. I hadn't noticed it until just now. I am not certain about that, but I am merely calling it to your attention.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, go over to the last page; start on the first completed paragraph.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, on page 7, this is a definite statement of fact. Perhaps it will straighten the thing out. I don't know whether the Tribunal will be inclined to consider it or not. Starting with the word, "However," on page 8, the fifth line up, that is stating a fact.

THE PRESIDENT: But, again, that refers to the

reasons which are just stated.

MR. WARREN: Well, you see, the position I am in is that the prosecution introduced evidence to show what his motives were, and to knock out this other testimony here and to put in what the prosecution wanted left is putting words in this witness' mouth which are not true and binding us in that very situation. That is what we are combating.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, I thought the Court, had ruled upon this, but if it is subject to reopen, I would like to make our position clear.

There is a manner in which the defense can present the evidence in this case, but what was in the accused's mind, it shouldn't be through other individuals telling the state of mind of the accused.

THE PRESIDENT: He can only state what HIRANUMA said.

MR. WARREN: If I may skip that and go to page 9, and then if the Court will permit me to question this witness, I think he will testify that HIRANUMA told him that. I am not certain, but I will question him about it.

THE PRESIDENT: You need not rely on that part, or read that part, Colonel.

MR. WARREN: That is the reason I raised the

1 question, your Honor.

Skipping to page 9:

(Reading continued)

"On 9 August 1945 The Supreme Conference for the Direction of War was convened in the Imperial Palace before the Throne to discuss and decide whether Japan should accept the terms of the Potsdam Declaration. At that time HIRANUMA was the President of the Privy Council and was not a regular member of the conference but did attend on this occasion by special request of the Emperior. At this conference --"

Wait a minute. Am I reading something you knocked out?

"At this conference HIRANUMA supported the opinion that Japan should accept the Potsdam Declaration. Perhaps I should state at this point that I was not present at the conference, but I do know personally that HIRANUMA attended and I learned of his position from conversations held with him and other persons who were present. At the end of this conference the Emperor approved the acceptance of the Potsdam Declaration and Japan's surrender was decided upon."

Your Honor, will the Tribunal indulge me in direct questioning of this witness to clear up the

1	
1	matter which
2	THE PRESIDENT: You may put a few further
3	questions in examination in chief.
4	BY MR. WARREN (Continued):
5	Q Admiral, were you present at the Elder States-
6	men's meeting held on April 5, 1945?
7	A Yes, I attended the meeting.
8	Q Was this the meeting where SUZUKI was
9	recommended for the Prime Minister?
0	A Yes. A see that the second of the second o
1	Q Prior to this meeting, had you had any con-
2	versation with the accused HIRANUMA concerning the
3	appointment of SUZUKI or the prospective appointment
4	of SUZUKI as Prime Minister?
5	A HIRANUMA strongly advocated the appointment
6	of SUZUKI as the next Prime Minister.
7	Q I understand, Admiral. But, did you have a
8	conversation with him about the appointment?
19	A Yes, I had.
20	Q In that conversation, did Baron HIRANUMA tell
21	you the reason that he thought SUZUKI would make a goo
22	Prime Minister?
23	A Vos T heard the reason.

Will you tell the Tribunal what those reasons

were that he advanced to you?

A The reasons as set forth by Baron HIRANUMA to me were that the next Prime Minister must be a statesman who would be able to lead the nation in order to keep, in some form, the basis of Japanese national political life, that is to say, retain in some form the fundamental structure and character of the Japanese State, for if that basis were destroyed then Japan would have to fight to the last man; and a man of considerable leadership who could preserve the nation intact was found necessary. That was HIRANUMA's reasoning.

MR. WARREN: Now, may the witness be handed

MR. WARREN: Now, may the witness be handed defense document No. 2423?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Do you know what that document is that you hold in your hand?

A Yes, I do, very well.

Q What is it?

A This is a letter which I sent to KONOYE.

MR. WARREN: At this time, if it please the Tribunal, we offer in evidence defense document No. 2423, but we do not desire to read it. We just merely offer it as real evidence of the fact that these negotiations, which he testified about, were going on

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

1	at this time.
2	THE PRESIDENT: As it is a short document,
3	you ought to read it. Otherwise, the Judges will
4	have to go to the trouble of looking it up.
5	MR. WARREN: Oh, I am sorry.
6	THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted on the usual
7	terms.
8	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2423
9	will receive exhibit No. 3230.
0	(Whereupon, the document above
1	referred to was marked defense exhibit
2	No. 3230 and received in evidence.)
3	Sinister Mikuta Physica Mideter Mark, Areat Surt and
4	Asia, Ninteles Addi mo Cornego Malegar Machines
5	to luners on an ecom on Austral age Meetlay. It has every
6	Clab and that the most be continue of frie Admeti-
7	RANA, Barbe MARKEDUNG, Saren SEFAKRIS, Tetre Essetted.
8	or toward lower, he district the and and and
9	self. If you ograp to the plan I shall be gird to !!
20	role all expansions, so player answer by believes.
21	The properuper easied as to atom the water of
2	This is to the August 13 1943.
3	
4	
25	

Knapp & Kaplea:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. WARREN: I will omit the formal parts, and so forth.

(Reading) "Dear Prince:

"The heat is almost unbearable, but I trust your Excellency is in good health. The present situation is truly grave and the future of our country greatly concerns us all. I think that it is the duty of the Elder Statesmen to intimately talk with Prime Minister and other Ministers concerned, so I propose that in order to express our gratitude for the invitation which we have received so often, you, Baron HIRANUMA, and myself propose to invite Prime Minister TOJO, Minister SUZUKI, Finance Minister KAYA, Great East Asia. Minister AOKI and Foreign Minister SHIGEMITSU to luncheon at noon on August 30, Monday, at the Peers Club and that the hosts be chairman of Privy Council HARA, Baron WAKATSUKI, Baron HIRANUMA, Your Excellency, Admiral YONAI, Mr. HIROTA, General ABE and myself. If you agree to the plan I shall be glad to make all arrangements, so please answer by telegram." The prosecutor asked me to state the date of

this. It is August 13, 1943.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief of Counsel.

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KEENAN:

Admiral, weren't the Genro the group of distinguished Japanese who brought about the MEIJI Restoration? to that then to were part racket

Yes, that is so.

And they held, did they not, a special position of reverence and standing in Japan from that time on?

Yes.

and it became the duty of the Genro, did it not, as a matter of tradition and practice, to recommend to the Throne the succeeding premiers at the termination of each cabinet?

Yes.

And as these distinguished Japanese citizens died their places were not taken by any other persons?

If there were no proper persons they were not nominated.

I think you do not understand me. as the members of the Genro who caused the establishment of the Restoration passed away, there was no other means of succession, is that correct?

Yes, exactly as you say.

he last Genro was Frince SAIONJI?

6

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

A Yes.

2

o He died in November of 1940, is that not correct?

4

A 'a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

.

And prior to that time it was well known,
was it not, that he had gathered information from
various sources to enable him to make his recommendations, when the time arrived, to the Emperor, and that
was his function, well understood and accepted by
practically all the people of Japan?

and the the tend to the function

A Yes.

Now, the term "Senior Statesmen" that has been referred to -- did that organization not consist of the former Prime Ministers and the President of the Privy Council?

A Yes.

And they were first called into organization and began to function at the time of the selection of the Prime Minister for the second KONOYE Cabinet on or about July 22, 1940, was that not correct?

A Yes.

Prince SAIONJI, having been somewhat advanced in years, was likewise ill and it was found necessary to provide some plan for the continuance of that Genro function

in making recommendations to the Emperor for the succeeding head of the cabinet or Prime Minister?

A Yes.

of the Genro when the Genro ceased to exist, that is, on the doth of Prince SAIONJI, should be performed by the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, who should advise with the Senior Statesmen, and was that not a plan evolved by and approved by the Senior Statesmen themselves, and did it not become a practice?

A Yes.

O Did not the accused KIDO have to do with working out that plan

A I don't know whether he had much of a relationship with this matter.

O The second meeting of the Senior Statesmen had to do with the selection of the Third KONOYE Cabinet Prime Minister, did it not?

A Yes.

men had to do with the selection of the war cabinet
Prime Minister, the accused TOJO?

A Yes.

Q Now, in order to perform these functions faithfully and efficiently, was it not necessary that

11

14

13

3

4

7

10

16

17

15

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

. 4

3

4

. 1

7

. 6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

22

24

the Senior Statesmen be well and completely and accurately informed on the vital matters affecting Japan as a nation and the international problems of Japan?

I think that was highly necessary.

And unless that was done, Admiral OKADA, would not the meeting of the Senior Statesmen amount to a mere gesture, without meaning, a fraud upon someone?

To some extent that information was imparted to us by the Lord Keeper of the Privy real.

I have reference, Admiral, more to the information that was possessed by the cabinet. Was it not necessary for the functioning of the Senior Statesmen that it be possessed by them before they advised with the Emperor?

Yes, that is so.

Now coming to the specific meeting of the senior statesmen to which you refer in your testimony, that of 29 November 1941, was that meeting at the instance of the Emperor? Do you know?

This meeting was not -- I think was held with the consent of the Emperor, but it was not sponsored or brought about by the Grand Chamberlain.

out, could be trained and afficiently give year.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q Well, you would not have been there unless the Emperor wanted to know your views, would you?

A Yes.

MR. KEENAN: Well, now, that is a difference in Oriental and Occidental expressions. Yes means what?

THE INTERPRETER: Agreement with your ques-

Q Was not this the only time that the Senior Statesmen had ever been called into a meeting, or called upon to function on any occasion other than the selection of a Prime Minister, and did not this of course give that meeting a special and important significance?

A Yes, that is so.

Q And was it not understood by you, Admiral, and the other former Prime Ministers and President of the Privy Council that it was one of the most important occasions in the history of modern Japan that you were to consider and discuss?

A Yes.

Q And were you not called upon, first, to confer with the Cabinet and deliberate among yourselves and with the Cabinet so that you, as the Senior Statesman, could faithfully and efficiently give your

21

22

23

advice to the Emperor of Japan at this, perhaps its most fateful period in its entire history?

A Yes.

Q And regardless of what was learned at that meeting or what you all knew beforehand, was it not well known to everyone present that there was grave danger of war breaking out with the United States, Great Britain, the Netherlands, and Japan?

A Yes.

Q And, Admiral, is it not a fact that you were in the Navy and, I believe, on the ship the "Admiral TOGO" at the time of the Russian-Japanese War?

A That was at the time of the Sino-Japanese War.

Q And did you take part in the Japanese-Russian naval engagement in that war? If you did not, you heard about it.

A I participated.

Q And you learned, then, did you not, that war could break out with Japan attacking very, very suddenly, did you not?

A I do not know anything about that.

Q Do we understand, Admiral, that you and the other former Prime Ministers at this critical period, at this meeting on November 29, 1941, earnestly, persistently sought information from the Cabinet upon

2

3

1

4 5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

24

3

5

7

9

11

13

14

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

which to form judgment so that advice could be given to the Emperor, and that this information was refused on the ground that these matters concerned state secrets that could not be properly conveyed to you and to Admiral YONAI, and to HIRANUMA, and HIROTA, and KONOYE, and one or two others?

A State secrets were not disclosed at that time.

Q Did you ask for information and have it refused on the ground that it was state secret, that it could not be disclosed to this body of Senior Statesmen being prepared to advise the Emperor within a few hours?

A Yes.

Q Was there any reason that you know of that justified the refusal of the Cabinet to trust its former Prime Ministers and the President of the Privy Council when they were seeking information upon which to advise the Emperor at this very critical time in Japan's history?

MR. WARREN: I should like to object, your Honor. The prosecution has introduced evidence itself which shows that the men in this category were the highest, and could advise the Emperor or his highest council but they could not interfere with the

executive.

THE PRESIDENT: The reason has already been given for that in evidence, but there is no reason why it should not be sought again from the Admiral.

The objection is overruled.

Q Do you know which, or how many of the Senior Ministers or the President of the Privy Council were not trusted by the TOJO Cabinet?

A I do not know.

Q Could it be that they did not wish to have the real information transmitted by you within a few hours to the Emperor if you found out what it was?

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, we object. That question is hypothetical, it is speculative, and it calls for a conclusion of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Keenan is at liberty to get from him whatever reason he knows of, and that cannot be by guessing what is in the minds of others but judging from what they told him.

MR. KEENAN: I assume the witness, Mr. President, will not give any information unless he thinks he possesses it fairly accurately.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is objectionable in that form, but you can ask him whether he knows of any reason.

10

7

8

9

2

3

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, I would like to ask the question of the witness, if he knows of any reason, including attempting to keep the real information away from the Emperor, why the information was not given to these men of high position in the Japanese Government and life.

I would like to substitute another question, you. Honor, that I think can bring it out quickly. Just one more question.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you will not finish with him tonight, Mr. Keenan.

MR. KEENAN: This one question I would like to finish, your Honor. It is just a short one.

Were you able to find, Admiral, when you talked with the Emperor -- you and the other Senior Statesmen -- shortly after you were refused this information from the Cabinet, whether that information likewise had been withheld from the Emperor?

I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 25 September 1947, at 0930.)

24